

POWER CORRUPTS AGAIN AND AGAIN (Part 4)

ANGLE ON HOST:

The documentation of the Torres case shows us what might have occurred with Camille McMillan had she been more assertive with the escalation of her formal grievances through the system of “administrative remedies” set up by the union. This brings us back now to Camille McMillan’s case, and with her taking the less formal approach of writing letters in request for personal assistance from GM management, from her union “brothers”, and from her legal and civil rights “representatives”. Isn’t this the route that the UAW’s Public Review Board stated that Debra Torres should have taken?.... these “other avenues”, to deal with those problem managers, like “having more discussions with her local Union members”?

Though we’ve already seen a few of her letters, I think it is worth the time here to review just how far Ms. McMillan DID go while attempting to engage these other people – both inside GM and outside the company – with her written personal pleas.

Besides all of the people either directly or indirectly mishandling her numerous formal “grievances”, there were many others that Camille had notified about her employment problems. Let’s begin by a quick review of who we have already seen that Camille had written personal letters to either directly, or by copy of her letters addressed to union members and GM management:

Begin a scroll of names in Camille’s front and back pages of her letter to Knechtel and superimpose the pictures of the name individuals where those pictures are available.

We have GM Vice President Gerald Knechtel whose letter was also copied to other GM vice presidents Guy Briggs and Gary Cowger in Labor Relations; to Dr. Eugene Rogers, then president of the North Oakland chapter of the NAACP; Reverend Wendall Anthony, president of the Detroit NAACP; and Bill King, the local plant chairman.




Req4help 2many



Req4help 2many4



Guy Briggs



Gary Crowder



Dr. Eugene Rogers



Rev Wendall Anthony



King

You remember the letter Camille wrote to “Brother” Donny Douglas right? That one she also copied in January 2002, to UAW Vice President Richard Shoemaker and to then Vice President Ron Gettlefinger.



Douglas letfromCamille



Shoemaker2




Gettelfinger

Show top and quick scroll down to the bottom for two other addressees of the letter.

Here's another letter that Camille McMillan had written directly to Richard Shoemaker even before the one she wrote to Donny Douglas. This letter, written in December 2001 was copied again to Vice President Ron Gettelfinger, as well as to UAW Vice President Bob King.

Show clearly the addressee Shoemaker then scroll down to bottom of page and dissolve into scroll of next page, holding at the bottom names.



ShoemakLstp1& 2



Bob King

Have picture of Bob King dissolve into picture with King and Gettelfinger with King superimposed over himself.

Caption the photos with: “ (AP Photo/Carlos Osorio) ”



King&Gettel

ANGLE ON HOST:

I guess you know that just this past June 2010, Bob King took over Gettelfinger's 8-year reign as the UAW President. Seems that under Gettelfinger's leadership the union lost membership, benefits, and helped put GM well into the hole with our U.S. government. It's clear that neither Bob King nor Ron Gettelfinger lifted a finger to help Camille in response

to her cries for help. Wouldn't you think that the UAW and GM may also be in for more of the same from here on out with Bob King at the helm? Camille McMillan surely thinks so.

For the first paragraph below show page 1 of the letter then dissolve to page 2.

A little over a year after writing these two previous letters, Mrs. McMillan wrote to two more UAW representatives. Here is a three-page letter addressed to Brian Johnson as the Regional One Servicing Representative, detailing once again the safety hazards and workplace hostility Camille was subjected to by Earl Redd and others.

Small image of the first paragraph of the letter to Brian Johnson.

For the next paragraph below show page 2 of the letter with underlines on segments in quotes. Then dissolve to page three.

JohnsonLet1

Here on page two she wrote, "I have asked my committeeman at least 4 times for copies of my grievances on Verlin Matthews....I'm still waiting. I don't want to slam my Union Reps, but I would appreciate someone getting back with me."

Small image of the second paragraph of the letter to Brian Johnson.

JohnsonLet2

On page three, we can see that Stan Stoker also received Camille's statements and questions. Here she wrote, "How long am I expected to wait? We are constantly being violated here. Where do we draw the line? Management does not have to answer for their violations, but we are penalized very swiftly for any infraction. Something is seriously wrong in Pontiac where a General Forman can go against Safety Procedures and the hourly employee stands the chance of losing their job because they dare to question those in power."

Small image of the third paragraph of the letter to Stan Stoker.

CC: Stan Stoker, International Representative
UAW

JohnsonLet3



StanStoker

http://www.uaw599.com/headlight/2006_0110_Headlight7-12.pdf

ANGLE BACK ON HOST (with a handful of Camille’s letters in his hand):

And who says slavery is dead in America? (Sift through papers) Let’s take a look at who else Camille McMillan wrote to, who SHOULD have the most interest riding on worker oppression and slavery of the “little guy” by those working for the corporate mega-giants. You might be interested in how many of our government agencies, non-profit agencies, and political “representatives” MIShandle these types of matters.



NAACPReply

Hmm; here’s an answer from the NAACP written in 2001. It appears that Deputy Director Maryann Lee had nothing more to say or do except to refer Mrs. McMillan’s complaint to the Michigan Department of Civil Rights. Whoa! That was really big of the NAACP. Guess there wasn’t enough in this complaint to interest them.

I am told that it took a couple of others from the factory, those subjected to the hostility of the KKK incident, to interest H. Wallace Parker in later filing that 7.4 billion dollar lawsuit. This begs the question as to what is the greater motivator of H. Wallace Parker and the NAACP, addressing civil rights injustices or the potential for money. As that case involving Deborah Torres shows, once he was able to capture the newspaper headlines with his mega-billion dollar lawsuit, Parker’s only association with that case was by name only. He did little else; and even later changed the name of his law firm.

ANGLE ON HOST:

By the way, neither the NAACP nor the Michigan Department of Civil Rights ever did a follow up with Mrs. McMillan after the NAACP “passed the buck” to the MDCR.

Two years later Camille McMillan wrote the Michigan Department of Civil Rights herself and the MDCR responded back in such fashion as to demonstrate the type of response that most all other people get when they too seek intervention from this Michigan government Department. I know this because I have written the MDCR myself with multiple civil rights complaints over a series of years, and I’ve talked with others who have written the Department; and each of our responses matches what the MDCR sent back to Mrs. McMillan. So here’s how the typical civil rights complaint plays out in case you’re ever thinking about writing to this government agency.

ANGLE ON DOCUMENT:



Underline first couple of sentences mentioning the claim against GM and the lawsuit against GM when addressed in the dialogue below.

MDCR2003compl

It starts out with a Complaint filed by the public. Here's Camille McMillan's letter, presumably filed in September 2003, detailing the problems she was then experiencing with General Motors' factory managers. It specifically mentions Earl Redd. Note that Ms. McMillan is forthright in letting the Michigan Department of Civil Rights know that she has not only filed a claim of discrimination but also has an outstanding lawsuit pending against GM. I presume this was the class action suit initiated by the NAACP's attorney H. Wallace Parker.

ANGLE ON DOCUMENT:



MDCR2003resp1 & 2

Next, the MDCR sends back a confirmation letter, and for some reason they reword the complaint in a summary fashion, as they have done here with Camille's complaint. However, in their rewriting more concisely the nature of the complaint, they misleadingly use the personal pronoun "I" as if these are Camille's words rather than their own. In addition, at the bottom of this letter, written by V. STACY COBB, the same person who wrote ME in later years, the Department outlines what you can expect to happen with your complaint. The paragraphs basically says that the process the Department follows is one in which they contact the other party – in this case it was the General Motors Corporation – giving them 10 days to rebut your complaint. Then the Department notifies you about that rebuttal.

ANGLE ON HOST:

That's it in a nutshell. That's about all the Michigan taxpayer dollars are funding this Department to do....Oh yeah, if the complaint involves an employer, they might also forward your complaint to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. Likely what they forward is the one they drafted on your behalf rather than the very one you wrote in your own words. The EEOC just happens to have an office in most major cities like Detroit.

ANGLE ON DOCUMENT:

Underline in red what follows in quotes.



MDCRtoEEOC

Here's notification to Mrs. McMillan, no longer written by V. Stacy Cobb but by

STACY COBB MUNIZ; and it provides notice that the MDCR is forwarding her complaint to the EEOC. They state that their reason is “because the complaint was filed untimely with the Michigan Department of Civil Rights”. The MDCR said they only accept complaint within 180 days, so apparently this letter was to inform Ms. McMillan that they refused to take any further action against General Motors. They also apparently had declined to even follow through with the earlier plan to send General Motors the complaint and give the company 10 days to respond and notify Ms. McMillan of that response.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Now wait a minute. Something appears very wrong here.

ANGLE ON DOCUMENT AGAIN:



MDCRtoEEOC

Underline in red what follows in quotes.

This letter, dated October 16, 2003 claims, “On October 13, 2003 Mrs. McMillan had filed a complaint against General Motors”. That is just three days prior to this second letter being written to Camille. We know that can’t be the case, yet this is the date being used by Stacy Cobb, on behalf of the Michigan Department of Civil Rights, to deny Camille any action purportedly because the “complaint was outside the 180 days allowed by the MDCR for acceptance of complaints”.

ANGLE ON HOST:

180 days....with about 30 days in each month, that’s 6 months. Why wouldn’t the Michigan Department of Civil Rights just say 6 months? At any rate, what was the date again on that first response letter from Stacy Cobb?

ANGLE ON FIRST DOCUMENT:



MDCR2003resp1

Underline in red what follows in quotes.

The date of Cobb’s first acknowledgment letter was September 3, 2003, with a reported “Date of Offense as being March 25, 2003”. We know that for the Michigan Department of Civil Rights to respond by September 3rd they would have had to receive it earlier than that; and Camille’s letter would have been written even shortly before that. In any regards, we’ll just use the September 3rd date of Cobb’s acknowledgment letter to make things easy.

ANGLE ON HOST:

With a “date of offense” being March 25th, (using fingers) we have April 25th, May, June, July, August, September 25th. That’s six months....from March 25th to September 25th. So Camille McMillan had until September 25th to “timely” file her complaint with the Michigan civil rights department; and indeed Stacy Cobb acknowledged in her first letter that had been done.



Underline in red what follows in quotes.

MDCRtoEEOC

So why would Stacy Cobb have changed the date of Camille’s complaint to October 13th if for no other reason except to “constructively” deprive Camille McMillan of her taxpayer right to representation by this Michigan government Department?

ANGLE ON HOST:

Oh, the “Devil most certainly is in those details” when you’re dealing with corporations and government corruption. By the way, did any of you know that our local, state, and federal governments ARE corporations themselves? That’ a different documentary; so I’m not going there right now. I am sure however, that between dealing with her Multiple Sclerosis and fulfilling her overtime obligations to her employer General Motors, Mrs. McMillan simply took this notice from Stacy Cobb at face value, without taking precious time out to see if Stacy Cobb can count six months on her own fingers. After all, Ms. McMillan, like many of the rest of us, expected the employees of these government agencies, who do this all-day-every-day, week-after-week and month-after-month, to know what they are doing.

I say indeed they DO know what they are doing, and that things like this are no mistake. They are intentional acts of negligence and tort, likely pressured by the collaboration between upper-level management and their attorneys, made to look like accidental mistakes, which otherwise puts the proverbial “ball” back into our hands to catch that mistake and hold our government accountable for their “orchestrated incompetence”.

Too bad Ms. McMillan did not catch it then. The MDCR got away with it. So, let’s see how a civil rights complaint works on the federal level, with the response of the EEOC after Stacy Cobb forwarded Camille’s complaint to them.

ANGLE ON DOCUMENT:



EEOC2003p1 and p2



Under score date of October 13, 2003 in the second paragraph of page 1.

Also underscore the second sentence in paragraph 1 saying that as a result of the investigation the “matter will be discontinued”.

Here is a letter, giving a different “charge number” from the MDCR “Complaint number”. At the beginning of the second paragraph is the MDCR’s wrongful “date of filing” this charge of discrimination against General Motors. The letter right away stated that the EEOC had somehow already concluded some sort of an “investigation” and that “based upon the information below, the EEOC had determined that the processing of Camille McMillan’s complaint was to be discontinued”.

Let’s take a look now at what the EEOC was using from their so-called “investigation” to base their denial of Ms. McMillan’s complaint. I am sure that as Ms. McMillan was reading this letter for the first time, she was furiously asking herself why she had never even been requested, during that so-called investigation, for any documents or other evidence, names of witnesses, or any further statements to support her claim of discrimination.

Use an arrow at the side of each response item to show what is being talked about.

It says that the investigation somehow “revealed that there was no evidence that Camille’s gender as a woman played any part in decisions by General Motors that effected Mrs. McMillan”.

ANGLE ON HOST:
Oh really?!

ANGLE BACK ON DOCUMENT:

I know it’s hard to read here, literally between the lines, as Camille had written her thoughts down angrily to herself in response to each of these federal government claims; however she notes that her gender, as well as her race, had EVERYTHING to do with the way she was treated by her General Motors coworkers. She was one of very few black females working in the automotive trade as a highly skilled journeyMAN electrician.

Number two reason for denying Camille’s complaint: The “respondent”, General Motors, must have provided the EEOC with a copy of their lockout procedures. The EEOC claimed that these lockout procedures held “no evidence to suggest that Camille was treated any differently than the procedures recommend”.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Well duah! I bet those procedures, written by lawyers and posted by management, have nothing to do with the actual events taking place on the factory floor. Of course those procedures apply to all employees equally; but this has nothing directly to do with Camille’s actual complaint – with “reality”. Clearly, the response by General Motors in depending

solely upon a legal document while failing to address the circumstances and specific allegations of Camille's complaint, was purely rhetorical; and the EEOC was grossly negligent in allowing them to get away with it. But you see folks, that what they do. That's the way our government operates, in looking out for their big business partners, not the "little guy". This is just another government "bailout" for General Motors. It's just not as obvious as the one provided more recently in mid-December 2008, which was so blatantly at taxpayer expense.

ANGLE BACK ON DOCUMENT:

Let's see, number three: It appears that General Motors "provided a statement from Supervisor Bret Nixon showing that he offered to personally help Camille to lock out all the energy sources which could be hazardous" while Camille was fixing a factory floor machine, likely an assembly robot machine.

While I don't see how a simple statement placed in writing by the offender "shows" anything, the simple acceptance of that statement without a further inquiry into the matter demonstrates once again the EEOC's own "prejudicially biased" tendency to favor the mere "word" of a big business manager, albeit a lower level manager, over the word of an alleged civil rights victim. I don't know if you can read it here, but between the lines Camille wrote, as she has also rationalized to me in further detail, that managers were simply "not allowed" to "help" carry out electrical "lockouts"; simply because, as managers, they were not skilled electricians, and were therefore not trained or licensed to conduct those types of procedures.



Lockout

Insert picture in picture over page one of the letter; and when mentioning the name "Spyridon" have text "Spyridon E. Mellos" crawl across the page at the bottom.

In fact, the procedures were clear – despite that the EEOC representative who wrote this letter, a guy by the name of SPYRIDON, evidently had not even read the "lockout" procedures he himself had received and was referencing. Once trained in proper lockout procedures, the journeyman electrician is SOLELY responsible for his own physical safety. The company assumes no responsibility for injury or death caused by electrocution or by a person being crushed by a robot's moving parts, because that liability is absolved by the posting and dissemination of the written company "procedures" applicable for these types of maintenance conditions. THAT makes sense.

As Camille had noted between the lines of the previous response, should management otherwise wish to override a journeyman's better judgment, and to subject a worker to hazardous conditions and, in the worker's view, the possibility of injury or death, the "procedures" state that the supervising manager should otherwise provide that employee with a handwritten note of "safe operating procedure" reaffirming his overriding position. The handwritten note is supposed to provide the employee with something that he or she can be depended upon legally to protect them from the company policy governing their own liability, which that trained employee is expected to maintain over his own safety. This was

otherwise outlined by the general procedures being used by General Motors to “cover up” Brett Nixon’s alleged offense, which at this time, was also used by the EEOC to deprive Camille McMillan of her right to a “proper” address by the Michigan Department of Civil Rights AND the EEOC of this employer offense.

ANGLE ON HOST:
Number four.

ANGLE BACK ON DOCUMENT PAGE 1 (scrolling and dissolving to page 2 and still using arrow to depict where we are at on the document)

Spyridon Mellos said that, as a result of his investigation, he found “no evidence that the disagreement arising from Camille’s refusal to perform what she deemed as unsafe work was based in any way upon her gender...and because her grievances do not mention gender discrimination, but instead, are limited to allegations of violation of union and safety rules”.

ANGLE ON HOST: (superimposed picture-in-picture while still on the document)

Again, this has to do with the incident whereby Camille was arguing with Brett Nixon in front of an assembly robot, when GM’s “general foreman” Earl Redd came up and told her get in the machine and do the work, under threat of being thrown out of the plant without a job. This is when Camille had replied by asking if Mr. Redd, on behalf of GM management, could otherwise guarantee in writing that the machinery would not move on her if she did not first conduct a “lockout” according to the posted procedures; and Earl Redd had responded with gritted teeth stating that he could not even guarantee that Camille would live to the next day.

Not a gender issue? Do you think these men would talk the same way to another guy, maybe twice their size and weight, or who might otherwise just be part of their “screw General Motors timecard club” or one of their “good-ol-boy” drinking buddies? Why wouldn’t Spyridon Mellos put the facts about this event in context of a bigger picture? He didn’t even come back first to Camille with questions about these issues before outright denying her Complaint.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Because I have been a Michigan taxpayer with other types of civil rights complaints, which I too filed with the EEOC, I can say that I have experienced this very same sort of treatment, of nonsensical reasoning, and by this very same “investigator”, Spyridon Mellos. So I can truthfully say that I believe that these types of “denials of rights” are intentionally constructed by government to thwart their responsibility; so that they may simply reject that for which this federal government agency was otherwise created by “the People”, and to be held accountable to us to “handle”.

This Power Corrupts segment is not my many cases, though; despite that mine reflect a similar “runaround”. My story is another documentary..... Number Five.

ANGLE BACK ON DOCUMENT PAGE 2 (arrow to number 5):

Without reading this whole thing, it should just suffice to state that Spyridon's so-called "investigation" failed to recognize that Camille's union representatives had refused to write up her many grievances regarding these types of civil rights offenses.

SLOW DISOLVE BACK TO ANGLE ON HOST (full frame):

In regards to the sixth reason that Spyridon Mellos outlined why the EEOC was denying Camille's complaint, it is clear that neither General Motors nor the EEOC had any evidence to support their joint claim that GM management had "followed their policies and procedures, and had legitimate non-discriminatory reasons for their actions". All Camille could think to write between the lines here was, "What were those non-discriminatory reasons" for forcing Camille to compromise her safety by working in conditions that violate posted safety procedures?.... the almighty bottom line of a higher production quotas and profit margins for the GM stockholders? (Nod) Ok, I'll buy that.

Let's read on....

Underline in the paragraph as signified by the dialogue.

ANGLE BACK ON DOCUMENT PAGE 2:

The EEOC said they had "no choice but to dismiss" Camille's case. But do you think our beloved Spyridon Mellos would simply leave Ms. McMillan out in the cold? Why no, he also noted that Camille had the right to "proceed" in taking her General Motors complaints to a federal court. The EEOC even offered a suggestive list of attorneys...along with a mixed message by their disclaimer that the EEOC wasn't actually "recommending" any of these attorneys, which all seems so very "incestuous" to me anyway.

By that time, February of 2004, Mrs. McMillan was still believing that she had the legal representation of attorneys H. Wallace Parker and Richard T. Taylor, who were "handling" that class action lawsuit against General Motors with 14 other people. So Camille sent attorney Taylor this EEOC denial letter, which Camille construed as a notice of "Right to Sue". We'll see shortly that besides losing the class action and individual cases of all those 15 people in the Oakland County Circuit Court, attorney Taylor negligently reneged upon his promise to take Camille's first case to the Michigan Court of Appeals. Before we go there, take a look at a couple other relevant facts. You see, I did a little investigating myself on this EEOC "investigator" Spyridon Mellos....

Closeup of Spyridon's signature and word "investigator" under his name. Make full frame and then dissolve to full screen of seminar over view spotlight Spyridon (Steve) Mellos as one of the presenters.




ConfcePresOutlSpyridon


This is a presentation outline from a "Michigan Rehabilitation Conference" in which Spyridon Mellos, who also goes by the name of "Steve", talked as an expert in the field. The document shows that Mellos was expected to be reasonably familiar with the rights of

employees under the Americans with Disabilities Act. This would include a familiarity with certain employment “accommodations” that would need to be provided to Camille McMillan by her employer, since she was medically determined to be a person diagnosed with Multiple Sclerosis. Employers are required to provide such accommodations so to provide people like Camille with an “equal opportunity” to EARN a legitimate income as a bonafied hard-working American.

Let’s see who else handles EEOC “investigations”oh, it’s General Motors’ good friends from the Bashen Corporation in Texas.



SJBashen1a and 1b



SJBashenEEOC

Dissolve from Search engine to Alexa then to Bashen Corporation website. Use underline of EEOC and State Agency Position Statements.....RFIs” and “Risk Management Consulting” when referencing the information below.

Perhaps some things are beginning to make some sense. This “Bashen Corporation” certainly does offer the industry standard on how to not only “consult large companies” like GM, but also how to “answer agency statements and construct agency responses to individual complaints, which they classify as “RFI’s”, an acronym for Requests for Information”; which I would otherwise classify as individuals screaming for “help” to agencies such as the EEOC.



SJBashenEEOC2

On another page from the Bashen website, the Bashen Corporation makes clear that they are not “bashFUL” of outright claiming to the big money corporations that they alone can “better equip” these companies for getting civil rights charges dismissed against those like Camille who have filed complaints with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission – the EEOC – or the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs – the OFCCP. Here the Bashen Corporation all but guarantees that benefit to the large companies that hire them as their corporate intermediary.

SLOW DISSOLVE BACK TO ANGLE ON HOST (full frame):

So here we have this government agency, the EEOC, that clearly won't use the taxpayer funding to do what they're supposed to do. Instead, they encourage Camille, me, AND YOU too...to spend more of our money on filing our complaints in the courts – with filing fees, attorney fees, and other costs. And they sucker us into hiring certain members of the “American Bar Association” that, like that the corporate attorneys employed by the Bashen Corporation, pay homage to the EEOC and help to drain us, the average “Joe”, of our precious financial resources.

And who says our government isn't engaging in “racketeering”? Just look at the “devil” in the details of this one particular case.

I'll WILL get on with the details of that Oakland County Circuit Court case shortly. However, I want to first finish presenting many more of the letters that Camille McMillan had written asking for assistance. I want to show you just how far Camille went, as I too have gone, and then some, even as shown by even my first “Power Corrupts” documentary, to call out for help. These letters are testimony to the number of others that Camille thought were rightfully in the position – and who she thought had the dispositions – to assist the public with these types of outrageous civil rights complaints. We'll just start with the letters that Camille wrote, and the responses she received back in 2004.



LtGovCherryResp2004 Lt. Governor John D. Cherry Jr. CherryHomePortrait

Here's a reply from Michigan Lieutenant Governor John Cherry written in August 2004. It's three sentences long with no substance. It says “thanks” for expressing yourself; and it says that Lt. Governor Cherry forwarded Camille's letter UP the government ladder as if he has no personal power himself to act upon Camille's complaint. This is the second most powerful person in the State! Since when do people employed in a corporation give their boss their own work to perform? Why wouldn't Cherry have his own staff handle Ms. McMillan's complaint?

Quick insert of host and then back to the letter. Also, add quick angles on host on word “suckers” and at the end for expression after he completes his sentence after saying the word “spanking”.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Don't answer that!....Here's what Camille got back from another Michigan representative, U.S. Senator Debbie Stabenow in September 2004. It's three short paragraphs of nothing but rhetoric, finger-pointing, and yet another referral to the State Bar of attorneys just looking for suckers, I mean souls, like Camille to call looking for a spanking....I mean assistance.



StabenowLet

Stabenow

INSERT QUICK SHOT OF HOST:
(WEIRD LOOK)

Senator Stabenow ended her letter with the invitation for Camille to come back anytime for more of the same.



USComCivRightsResp



Dickerson

Ok. Here's the response Ms. McMillan got back signed by someone named "Teresa Broafs" but indicating that she as the signer was someone else named TERRI A. DICKERSON of the United States Commission on Civil Rights. In any regard, the first paragraph of this letter is rhetorical; designed to essentially state that "the Commission has no authority to provide direct remedial assistance or offer an opinion" as to the soundness of Camille's allegations.

Like the Michigan Department of Civil Rights had done the previous year, this Washington, D.C. "Commission" director thought she would "helpful" by forwarding Camille's complaint to the EEOC.

ANGLE ON HOST:

How comforting that this civil rights director should be so "helpful". Wanna know who answered at the EEOC?....Spyridon Mellos!



Focus first on
Spyridon's name then
zoom out to letter and
dissolve to MDCR
2003 letter as I explain,
then dissolve back
again to the EEOC
letter.

EEOCafterUSCCRresp



MDCR2003compl

Keep in mind that this is a full year after Camille's previous complaint was forwarded to the EEOC by the MDCR. That complaint concerned events going on in March 2003. As we saw earlier, Camille McMillan had filed an entirely new Complaint with the EEOC in September 2003, and this "Power Corrupts Again and Again" documentary shows

that even after filing her class action lawsuit and these civil rights complaints, there were additional occurrences of other civil rights offenses. Technically, each new occurrence needs to be treated as a separate civil rights violation. Camille McMillan was getting a resolve of none of them, so was continuing to do as I often recommend, by “shouting these injustices from the highest mountaintops”.

So what was Spyridon’s answer? “Been there, done that.”.... Just because the names of the claimant, Camille McMillan, and the alleged violator, GM, were the same as the previous complaint, this guy Mellos claimed to have already properly investigated and “addressed” these new issues.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Our government officials at their finest! It doesn’t get much better than this folks. It only gets a lot worse.



Let2JessieJack



JesJackson

Camille thought that perhaps Rev. Jesse Jackson and his Rainbow-Push Coalition in Chicago might help. I remember writing to them too about four years later in 2008, thinking that Jackson and his agency would be outraged at what I had to report to them about my own Michigan government corruption case. Camille and I might have just as well thrown our letters into a deep, dark pit. Neither Jackson nor ANY of his coalition members cared to write back to either one of us.



Cox2004Resp



Coxphoto.jpg

Start with Cox in closeup center screen full frame and back away revealing more of the picture. When bringing in letter, place it in center frame over Cox so letter is flanked by the mean looking government agents. The fade letter out leaving full picture.

How about Attorney General Mike Cox? As Michigan’s chief law enforcement officer you’d think that he is there to protect all citizens of the State wouldn’t you. I know Camille did. Are you kidding? Mike Cox is the enemy. He readily said so right here in this letter, which he signed himself in October 2004.

I ask you to imagine how you’d feel getting such a letter, because I got a similar one from Mike Cox when I reported that neither State nor Federal agencies, and in my case neither State nor Federal prosecutors, nor the Courts, would do anything about my report of CRIMES as well as civil rights offenses being perpetrated against me.



Dr. David A. Clark

Any creative way to work both the photo and the text in the screen. Just underline the text in the second and third lines about her daughter's 18th birthday and volunteering for Carl Levin.

[illegible]

CamLet2Meisner

- FACTORY WORKER who filed several discrimination and harassment grievances;
- UAW investigated and found “no cause” for the complaints;
- GM civil rights advocate found only one valid complaint but dismissed it;
- GM hired outside firm to investigate and they too found “no cause”;
- Class action suit dismissed in a Michigan court for “failure to state a claim”;
- Failed to file an appeal;
- Placed by employer on a permanent leave of disability;
- Tried suing GM again through their self-insured workman’s compensation insurance division;
- Workman’s comp case was dismissed for “Failure to state a claim on which relief could be granted”;



CamLet2ACLU

In November 2004, Camille wrote a three page letter to Kary Moss, the Executive Director of the ACLU. Can you imagine what it is like to have to tell your story over, and over, and over again. Have any of you viewers noticed that each time Camille wrote a new letter she changed the page formatting? And while each time perhaps thinking that it was she who might be doing something wrong in articulating her requests for a proper address of these matters from these public “servants”?



CamLet2ACLU2

I had previously approached GM management for intervention and after doing so, the situation intensified. GM PAID S&J Bashen out of Texas to investigate mine and other complaints. S&J Bahen refused to submit a written report to me nor to my union. I spoke w

CamLet2ACLU2a

Camille explained her own story to the ACLU, pointing out that when she went to General Motors management for help, GM paid the Bashen Corporation to come in and neutralize the complaints.

I did everything America said to do to be successful. As a minority, you are told education is the key to success. You can achieve the American dream through education. I educated myself, passed the apprentice test highly, was diagnosed with MS in the

CamLet2ACLU2b

Consider the significance of this self-made black woman writing to the ACLU, “I did everything America said to do to be successful.” This woman’s testimony was clear. She was told throughout her early life that the key to her future success, “in the land of the free and the home of the brave”, was through hard work and education. She did that, passing all the standard hurdles with flying colors, only to be relegated to this type of treatment in the workplace.

cursed anyone and do community service. I EARNED the right to hold the title as a Journeyman Electrician. It was not handed to me. Some sick, perverted powers that be decided that I did not have that right and made my life a living nightmare. I have been forced to leave my job of over 25 yrs and am now receiving total disability. I could no longer withstand the abuse. The MS has

CamLet2ACLU2c

She had EARNED the right to be licensed with the title of a Journeyman Electrician. Yet, in joining the work force and working that job for a quarter century, she was forced out of that job by a conspiracy of sick, perverted power stemming from the complex political hierarchy of corporate self-interest, management oppression, and union corruption.

With all that I experienced, a judge saw nothing wrong with the mistreatment I received and issued sanctions against me. I am awaiting an appeal. He alone decided this was just, instead of sending it to a jury. See his opinion in

CamLet2ACLU2d

Keep the above graphic up and add the next one under it so that they both show in near full screen. Underscore the above with a red line regarding the judge sanctioning Camille for not cooperating. Then add the third graphic further in to the discussion.



McDonald

Fade in and out picture of McDonald when talking about this judge in next paragraph.

Camille informed the ACLU that when humbling herself before Judge John J. McDonald, this “judge saw absolutely nothing wrong with the mistreatment she received” at General Motors. Rather than to rightfully provide her with a jury to judge the facts and the laws pertaining to this case, this prejudicial and corrupt Oakland County Circuit Court judge instead single-handedly ruled that the class action case should be re-filed as separate legal claims; and then he systematically dismissed each and every case, forcing all of the class action plaintiffs to accept \$4500 under an accompanying gag order not to talk about the case, or risk being sanctioned. Camille was one of two that actually WERE sanctioned for \$3000 by Judge McDonald for their noncompliance with this judge’s Oakland County system of “justice”. These were two people who refused to accept Judge McDonald’s insult on top of the injuries caused by their cases.

from the EEOC. GM offered all of us in the initial suit \$4500 to prevent us from speaking out. What an insult after the terror I lived. It was never about money with me but I will not allow

paying the price. The judge called my removal from the job an isolated incident. The superintendent admitted to discriminating against me out of his own mouth and the judge saw nothing wrong with it.

CamLet2ACLU2f3a & CamLet2ACLU2f3a2

CamLet2ACLU3d

[illegible]

21

ANGLE ON THE FOLLOWING TWO DOC PAGES SIDE BY SIDE IN FULL SCREEN:



NAACP2004p1 & 2

The letter, written by Senior Secretary Joyce L. Wiley in the form of a memo, suggests that by the outcome of all Camille has already been through, she somehow needed to gather even more evidence before going to the “appeal” hearing that the NAACP attorney working for H. Wallace Parker never actually filed.

ANGLE ON HOST:


(Shaking head) You know, the NAACP is not even worth any further comment here by me. In hindsight, Camille McMillan has long found them about as worthless as the ACLU.

And speaking of worthless, what about our mainstream media? Clearly the Detroit newspapers are great at providing timely front-page headlines for bigwigs like H. Wallace Parker when spotlighting his 7.4 billion dollar class action lawsuit. Too bad they had little to nothing to print about H. Wallace Parker’s disappearance into obscurity while Judge McDonald systematically carved up and devoured that case, leaving nothing less than a huge stink in that courtroom, by a small “burb” of aftermath of that Michigan State BAR corruption.



MontelTo

Here’s page one of four pages Mrs. McMillan wrote to Montel Williams in October 2005. I shan’t burden you with the details. It should suffice to say that it showed yet another effort by Camille to summarize her near decade of torment into something more concise and palatable....something that was virtually impossible to do considering that each new place Camille went for a remedy to the situation responded by adding yet another layer to the gross negligence and the corruption.



Have Montel card in full screen then open a picture-in-picture with host in the white area of the upper right hand corner. Then after “thanks for nothing” bring host to full screen.

ANGLE ON HOST:

And here's Montel's "answer" to that letter. He must have printed a stack of these replies to add that little extra "personal touch" for just such occasions. What have you got to say now Montel Williams? I wrote to you too a couple of years ago, and you never responded back to me either. Camille sends her thanks too.....for nothing.

How about civil rights "star" activist Al Sharpton? I remember writing him too, about the time I wrote to Jessie Jackson and to the Rainbow-Push Coalition. Here's Camille McMillan's letter to Reverend Sharpton. This is what she said to him in January 2006:



Let2Sharpton



Sharpton

"When I woke up this morning the first news I saw was featuring you, on TV celebrating the life of Dr. Martin Luther King". Further into the first paragraph she wrote, "I have written to many civil rights groups and none have responded...I would like you to see what we are still facing in the workplace. The silence from civil rights leaders has just escalated the trend....GM knows we have no true voices in these matters."

ANGLE ON HOST: (reading the paper on camera as text scrolls across the screen)

"Our judicial system has been sorely compromised. The corporations know what to do in order to get the results they desire there too. I had a very strong case that was dismissed by a judge who probably had his OWN agenda. But he could help protect the white female who murdered her husband."

(stop reading: to camera)

You're probably wondering what THAT was all about. Here's an article in the Detroit New that told about it.



McDonAxMurder



McDonald

It's clear that Camille McMillan's case, i.e., the case involving her and 14 others in the class action race discrimination case brought by the NAACP and H. Wallace Parker in 2002, was likely not the first time this Judge John J. McDonald had acted outside the law by abusing his discretion as a judge. It certainly was not the last time. Here is another case publicized just three years later in 2005 whereby Judge McDonald unilaterally reduced a legally mandatory life prison sentence with no chance of parole. This article quoted the Oakland County Prosecutor as saying, "This is outrageous. Judge McDonald has appointed

himself the 13th juror in this case.” He added, “He has no legal precedent for this but rather has every duty NOT to overturn the verdict of a jury. It is a blatant contradiction of well-established procedure and a slap in the face to the jury who heard and decided this case and their verdict.”

That’s a slap in the face of you and me folks. This judge knew his duty to follow procedure and the law, yet he did as he wished anyway. And do you think that any jury member or other private citizen had any legal right to challenge this judge or hold him legally accountable for this abuse of discretion and dereliction of duty? I can tell you the answer is “no”. I have challenged both State courts and the U.S. District Courts, charging these types of judges with criminal allegations, as well as accusing other government agents who were acting similarly in gross negligence and malfeasance of their official duties and Oaths of office.... and the result has been the exact same for the past seven years.

The judges here in Southeast Michigan abuse their discretion to such a degree so to cover up government corruption by their “peer group” of other government officials. They dismissed all of my cases, denying me access either to a civil jury or to a criminal grand jury. And they continue to do so in claim that private citizens like you and me have no right whatsoever, to bring criminal proceedings upon any other individual, even though that individual might be a government official criminally violating our rights by himself ignoring or abusing the laws.

Again, I will reserve the testimony on my case for a different place and time and continue to focus upon Camille McMillan’s case so to wrap it up. However, you as the audience must know that there are many judges, not just here in Michigan, but throughout the United States, that are doing this same kind of thing. For the most part, the media doesn’t ever even cover it. Like in the class action discrimination case carved up by Judge McDonald, the media never spotlighted and publicly questioned that judge’s actions. They only brought hype to H. Wallace Parker entering that civil rights case. The only reason why the media exposed McDonald here in this article was because this was already a high-profile spectacle playing out in the local news.

of planning. She purchased cleaning supplies after the homicide. She shoplifted a hatchet after the homicide.”

Faraone said a decision has not been made whether to seek another hearing in the case. On Wednesday he had not informed Seaman of McDonald’s ruling.

“I’ll leave it up to her father to tell her what happened today,” Faraone said.

During the jury trial last year, McDonald heard how Robert Seaman, 57, had been struck 15 times with a hatchet and at least 21 times with a knife in the garage of his home. McDonald heard Seaman’s son Greg, defend his mother and also heard Nancy Seaman tell him how an abusive husband, a jury tainted by pretrial publicity and police, and an assistant prosecutor and the courts had all worked against her.

You can reach Mike Martindale at (248) 647-7226 or mmartindale@detnews.com.

McDonAxMur2

When the dialogue cites the number of hatchet strikes and knife stabs, show the graphic from page two of the article. Then dissolve back to page one heading in close up on the line right underneath stating, “Ex-teacher may be....evidence.”

In any regard, McDonald, along with the jury, had heard that this “white” woman had struck her husband 15 times with a hatchet and at least 21 times with a knife in the garage. The jury decided it was first degree murder with a mandatory life sentence...no parole. Yet Judge McDonald illegally overturned that decision, reducing the mandatory sentence for that finding, because he personally believed that “the first degree murder conviction had sufficient evidence?”

Does he not think that a jury of 12 citizens composed of people like you and I have enough brains to make these types of decisions, despite that this is the way the law is set up for giving people a fair shake? I say no; and that he is fully aware our capability of citizens and fully aware of the power of the people of the jury. I believe that is why this judge DID act prejudicially against Camille McMillan and her other co-Plaintiffs when deliberately “constructing” the means by which he would first divide their class action discrimination lawsuit into separate cases, and then systematically “conquer” them by forcing them to accept insulting plea bargains and a gag order about being on the receiving end of abuse by GM. The alternative being offered by Judge McDonald? Face \$3000 in sanctions by his court.

ANGLE ON HOST:

(moving to the next page in the stack of papers)

So let's get back to Camille's letter to Reverend Al Sharpton written around Martin Luther King day 2006.



Let2Sharpton

Quick cut back to Host when inserting “I call it the ‘just-us’ system”.

Superimpose Judge McDonald's picture over Camille's letter as she describes in her letter what he did to systematically divided up the class action case.

Camille wrote, “Our judicial system has been sorely compromised.” I already read that. Let's move down a little. She told Reverend Sharpton, “What I send you is only a sampling of the evidence I have. The judge made sure a jury would not hear it. I wanted a trial by my peers. He then entered sanctions against me of over \$3000. There were 15 of us in the original suit. The justice system – I call it the “just-us” system – seemed to systematically help GM chop us off, one by one. The average black person does not have \$3000 to fight the large Corporations. I am the only individual left standing in this fight. I will sell my house to pay the unjust sanctions before I allow GM to silence me with fear.”

Mrs. McMillan added, “We have no power in the courts as Black Americans and it will just get worse. Those who hold themselves up as Black Leaders need to take a good look at the average black person's struggle. This is why we have tragedies like Hurricane Katrina's aftermath. Our children and grandchildren's futures rest on our backs today. Most blacks are not treated like you are now.”

ANGLE ON HOST:

Mrs. McMillan told me that the illustrious Reverend, Al Sharpton, never responded to her letter.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Once more we must take a sidebar to outline exactly how Judge McDonald actually “did it” in Camille McMillan's case. We already know from her letter that Judge McDonald divided up the 15 class Plaintiff's referenced by the 2002 Detroit newspaper article.



DFP Torres entireFpage

Insert newspaper in over-the-shoulder shot of Host momentarily.

Anyone really want to know one reason why court proceedings take so many years? It's not simply because each party is entitled to "due process", it's because the longer things take to resolve, the more complicated things get; and resultantly, the less control each party has over the outcome of their case. That is one reason why citizens are guaranteed a speedy trial against criminal allegations.

Attorneys are known for riding the line of dishonesty when presenting their cases. They "live" in those gray areas of the law while distorting the facts. I personally have witnessed attorneys employed by the government, including school districts, law enforcement, prosecutors, and the Michigan Attorney General when defending against my criminal allegations against the Michigan Governor and himself...I've seen them outright lie and do what they can to twist and complicate the facts of a case to intentionally defraud the courts. Long story short, the less control each party has in the outcome of lengthy court proceedings, inclusive of those relegated to higher courts under "appeal" from one party or the other, the more control the judge is entitled to have by contrast. Judges know this and in cases where the lower court judge wishes to "aid and abet" corporations, including government corporations, he resorts to similar abuses of his judiciary "discretion"; even though he is under a sworn Oath to see to it that no miscarriage of justice takes place.



LitCalendar



class action announcUSAToday

Do a split screen momentarily to show the headline and then quickly go to the bottom of the page where Selinsky's name is highlighted by UNDERLINE. Then go back to the calendar in full frame and crawl the text from the newspaper article across the frame as host speaks about the calendar. "William Selinsky, an attorney for the plaintiffs in the lawsuit, said the \$7.4 billion it was seeking in punitive damages was based on the annual profits GM is believed to reap from its facilities in Pontiac."

When mentioning the "mediation" and "status conference" underline those words, as well as the last sentence of item # where it says " "No adjournments of....be allowed". Then finally, underline all of item #2 of the document before dissolving into the witness list itself.

It was nearly two years after the Detroit Free Press article was printed that Judge McDonald's court finally issued a "calendar" for Camille McMillan's individual case proceedings. Remember, this was the case brought by William Selinsky, who was then

working for H. Wallace Parker, touted as the chief counsel for the NAACP and working through his “Bloomfield Law Center”. The calendar presented a series of procedural actions to be taken in “due process” to allow the parties the opportunity to resolve the case before going to trial, including mediation and a “status conference” scheduled for June 4, 2004. Note that this official court document had assured each party that “No adjournments of mediation or trial shall be allowed. That is assuming no misconduct by the judge actually takes place and that the complaining party – Camille McMillan – has valid arguments for a jury to decide upon.”

Note that item #2 says that each party should have a “witness list” by December 1, 2003.

Check out the witness list submitted on Mrs. McMillan’s behalf by attorney Richard T. Taylor, working under Parker. Thirty four of them, who never got the opportunity to speak thanks to Judge McDonald.



Witnesslist p1 & 2

It’s only by hindsight too that we can come to realize that this document could have provided some insight about Taylor’s competence level as an attorney too when it came to his failure to file Camille’s case for a proper appeal after McDonald dismissed it and sanctioned her. Here we see that he had a problem even in counting, with number thirty-three written both before and after number thirty four.

Underline 33 the first time and circle 33 the second time.



Fax2Taylor



GMatrny delay status conf

Colored page is available only if you need it. (Doubtful)

Subsequently, in a move that I have seen time and time again in getting my numerous cases dismissed against government defendants I have named for criminal racketeering and corruption, the attorney for General Motors filed a “dispositive motion” stating their reasons why Judge McDonald should dismiss Mrs. McMillan’s case. And then that attorney sent a Fax to Camille’s attorney Richard T. Taylor to suggest that the “status conference” be rescheduled for AFTER GM’s hearing on what is called a “motion for

summary disposition”, which, as I have repeatedly seen, is always couched as an attempt to dismiss the case altogether. The Fax asked this attorney Taylor to sign off for giving permission for GM’s motion to be heard first, and of course – I’ve heard attorneys call it a “professional courtesy” – Taylor simply rolled over and allowed GM to change the terms of the court’s “scheduling order”.

Note that at this time there was one other case against General Motors. This was another person besides Camille who was involved in that initial filing of the 7.4 billion dollar lawsuit but who, like Camille, had not taken the \$4500 settlement that GM offer and that Taylor had convinced all the other to accept.

ANGLE ON HOST:

This law firm of H. Wallace Parker was a real jewel wasn’t it? Taylor essentially just handed over the case, and in a way that appeared to show that “due process” fully took place. It “somehow” just turned out bad for Camille and the other person. That’s just too bad. Attorneys say it happens all the time. They simply say they have no control over the decisions of the court.

Which brings us to that motion hearing on June 16, 2004 in which Judge McDonald dismissed Camille’s case, depriving her of both that “status conference” and a trial by jury.



Show cover page 1 and quickly dissolve to page 2 while underlining “Claims do not extend...indignities” on page 2 when referenced.

Again, Judge McDonald dismissed Camille’s case stating that her claims did not even amount to mere insults or indignities. He couched that “opinion” in a court “finding” that Camille McMillan had not raised a genuine issue of material fact as to a Civil Rights claim.

Scroll down with pause in middle of page 2 regarding “genuine issue of material fact”, then scroll to bottom and dissolve to page 3 by end of next paragraph.

Despite the obvious as it was portrayed in the Detroit Free Press, the Oakland Press, and USA Today newspapers, McDonald issued an “official” ruling that Mrs. McMillan had somehow NOT established that she belonged to a protected group as a female, as an African American, or as a disabled person; and that she had similarly failed to establish that any of the conduct of her General Motors coworkers created an intimidating, hostile, or offensive workplace.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Oh really! Let's just take a look at a couple of documents that Judge McDonald had at his disposal at the time he made this ruling.



MediationSumP1



MedSumbraket

Focus on "Mediation Summary" of first page and then dissolve into second page for the remainder of dialogue.

Here's the Mediation Summary drafted by attorney Richard T. Taylor a few months prior to McDonald awarding the case to General Motors. Note that it was at this stage in the proceedings that all but one other of the class actions "plaintiffs" were compelled to take the \$4500 to keep from losing anything more of their time and money after H. Wallace Parker and the NAACP left their individual cases high and dry.

Note that Taylor wrote that Mrs. McMillan was CLEARLY subjected to a hostile work environment based on gender and race since "A" she was the only African-American woman journeyman electrician in her crew; "B" since her male co-workers and supervisors were verbally and physically aggressive towards her; "C" because her toolbox was constantly vandalized and continually messed with; "D" because while Camille was intentionally removed to lower-level jobs and denied jobs for which she was otherwise qualified, under reason that it was "because of her Multiple Sclerosis"; and "E" because there happened to be another woman, a Caucasian female journeyman electrician also with Multiple-Sclerosis, who was persistently given favorable job assignments and essentially "treated with kid gloves" by comparison.

Scroll down with pause in middle of page 2 regarding "genuine issue of material fact", then scroll to bottom and dissolve to page 3 by end of next paragraph. Keep scrolling down and hold on view of entire page 3 showing McDonald's signature is applied in print using a rubber stamper.

ANGLE ON HOST:

So, Camille McMillan "failed to establish that any of the conduct of her General Motors coworkers created an intimidating, hostile, or offensive workplace, huh?" Well, what about this?



EveJonesdepospage

To: Mike I Smith/US/GM/GMC/GM
cc: Michael Southwell/US/GM/GMC/GM
Subject: Conditioning Line Incident

On Wednesday, May 8, 2002 at approximately 9:10am, I was walking south along conditioning Line #1 and noticed an extension cord tied in the shape of a "noose" above the ventilation duct on the west side of Conditioning Line #2 at approximately E-42. Upon seeing the cord wrapped in that fashion, I looked around to see if anyone was observing me standing near the cord. I saw no one in the immediate vicinity. The cord was suspended from the overhead steel and wrapped around conduit and plugged into a receptacle. I could not dislodge the cord from the receptacle and did not want to draw too much attention to myself. I then untied the noose and set the cord [untied] back upon the top of the ventilation duct. At that point I radioed Final Superintendent Mike Smith and let him know what I found and where I found it. Mike then contacted Personnel and I joined Mike in his office when Personnel Staff came to his location.

Any questions, please contact me at 2451.
SAS

Begin with Evelyn Jones' scrolling page the dissolve to Mike Smith's report with a red underline beneath May 8, 2002. Then dissolve back to Evelyn's statement in close up with underline beneath "all of February, the noose hung there".

MikeSmith noosediscovery

Here's a page from the sworn deposition testimony of Evelyn Jones, one of the other


class action plaintiffs whose case was also dismissed by Oakland County Judge John J. McDonald. Though only one page, it clearly demonstrates that the “hangman’s noose” set up in the factory area was not the only one being planted to create a hostile working environment. Remember, the noose found by Scott Sergeant was reported as seen on May 8, and he stated that he untied it right away. According to Ms. Jones, there was at least one other noose that had been hanging around the factory floor for the entire month of February.

ANGLE ON HOST:

No hostile or offensive workplace?



RietzelDepo and



RietzelDepo2

Here is a “multi-page” segment of four pages of the deposition of David Reitzel, in which he admits in his own words – under Oath – that he got frustrated with two Pontiac Assembly Plant factory workers, including Clarence Trinity who was also one of the class action litigants alongside Camille. In his deposition, Reitzel admitted to blurting right out loud, “Why are you guys nigger-piling me?”



JamesSmithdepospage

Underline the policy name as depicted in quotation marks in the following paragraph.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Credible testimony? Here’s a page of the deposition testimony of Reitzel’s corporate manager, James Smith. Camille thought she recalled Mr. Smith to be a labor relations supervisor employed by General Motors. In any event, his sworn testimony verified that Reitzel was very straightforward in admitting that his conduct was a violation of GM’s “policy against racial discrimination, sexual harassment, workplace violence, and hostile work environment”.

Flash pic-in-pic over James Smith’s deposition or quick cut then return back to Smith’s testimony page(s).



GMPolicies

Notice that in this deposition, the attorney Alex Alexopoulos, acting on behalf of General Motors, asked Mr. Smith directly, “Did you understand that the use of that word would create a hostile work environment?” and Mr. Smith answered unambiguously..... “Absolutely”.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Is it no wonder that with all of this collective testimony available, GM would have wanted the class action carved into individual cases so that no single case would have access to the deposition pages and testimony of the other litigants? The only reason why Camille got her hands on some of these pages was because H. Wallace Parker and his sidekick attorney Richard T. Taylor were so “derelict” in their fiduciary duties to ALL of these class action litigants, that some of their file pages got mixed together; and Camille was smart enough to capitalize on the opportunity when she went into Parker’s office years later asking to review her case file. This was shortly after Camille discovered that attorney Richard T. Taylor had broken his promise by never actually filing Camille’s case for her appeal to Michigan’s higher court.

- FACTORY WORKER who filed several discrimination and harassment grievances;
- UAW investigated and found “no cause” for the complaints;
- GM civil rights advocate found only one valid complaint but dismissed it;
- GM hired outside firm to investigate and they too found “no cause”;
- Class action suit dismissed in a Michigan court for “failure to state a claim”;
- Failed to file an appeal;
- Placed by employer on a permanent leave of disability;
- Tried suing GM again through their self-insured workman’s compensation insurance division;
- Workman’s comp case was dismissed for “Failure to state a claim on which relief could be granted”;

Show previous yellow bulleted item changing to the last three being highlighted; the dissolve the bottom two items out and keep the “Placed by employer....disability” on screen.

Folks, do you get the feeling that this documentary will never stop revealing more evidence of corporate and judicial corruption? Welcome to the club. My hope is not to punish you as the viewer, but to provide you firsthand with knowledge of how frustrating all of this has been for Camille McMillan since her story is STILL – TODAY – yet being prolonged! (Pause) It’s still not over yet for her.



McDnldSends2WorkComp

You see, when Judge McDonald granted the entirety of General Motor’s motion for summary disposition, he effectively informed Mrs. McMillan’s attorney that her claims

involving the violation of her rights under the Persons With Disabilities Civil Rights Act should not be litigated by his court. He said that instead, those claims should be litigated by the Workers' Compensation Agency of the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth as otherwise outlined and mandated by the Workers' Disability Compensation Act. He supported that claim by referenced to this "Harris versus Vernier" court case.

Believe me, it IS written here, just not in a way that somebody like you, I, or Camille McMillan can plainly see and understand. It's written in what many refer to as legal "jargon" or "legalese".

You should note that the Persons With Disabilities Civil Rights Act is the same thing as the Americans With Disabilities Act except it is legislation on the State level; whereas the ADA is legislation on the federal level. The State legislation was enacted in 1976 and pertained to general discrimination. The ADA was signed into law in 1990 and covers a wide range of disabilities. The difference between the State and Federal laws could be the subject of many debates, however more significant is their similarities. People with disabilities are simply SUPPOSED to be protected, both in and out of the workplace.

- FACTORY WORKER who filed several discrimination and harassment grievances;
- UAW investigated and found "no cause" for the complaints;
- GM civil rights advocate found only one valid complaint but dismissed it;
- GM hired outside firm to investigate and they too found "no cause";
- Class action suit dismissed in a Michigan court for "failure to state a claim";
- Failed to file an appeal;
- Placed by employer on a permanent leave of disability;
- Tried suing GM again through their self-insured workman's compensation insurance division;
- Workman's comp case was dismissed for "Failure to state a claim on which relief could be granted";

In any regard, we already know from the beginning of this day-long never-ending video documentary about this case, Melody Paige also dismissed Camille's workman's compensation claims, with a ruling that we have already indicated contains numerous fraudulent statements and erroneous reasoning.



Camille WC judgment_Page_14

This court will not address the issue alleged concerning defendants violation of the Federal ADA Act, OSHA and/or MIOSHA, as the Worker's Compensation Board of Magistrates has not been empowered with the authority to address such issues. This issue of whether or not actions of co-workers and acquiescence of Supervisor resulted in physical and emotional symptoms which precluded plaintiff from returning to work will be addressed.

Camille WC judgment_Page_14c

Take a look here at page 14 of Magistrate Judge Melody Paige's Workman's Compensation Board decision. While Judge John J. McDonald stated that the Workers' Disability Compensation Act should entitle Camille McMillan to some sort of legal remedy for her claims, Magistrate Melody Paige ruled definitively that the Workman's Compensation Board would NOT litigate Camille's claims of discrimination, and while citing OTHER State and Federal legislative acts. She denied Mrs. McMillan's case while oversimplifying just one single supporting reason: "It's not within her authority and jurisdiction" either.

ANGLE ON HOST:

I did a "word search" as well as my own page-by-page review of the entirety of Magistrate Melody Paige's workman's compensation board ruling and have found that the words "Persons with Disabilities" is not even MENTIONED in the document, much less applied. So what-the-fuck!

Put Host in corner while changing yellow highlight to last item. Add SPFX sound over curse word.

- FACTORY WORKER who filed several discrimination and harassment grievances;
- UAW investigated and found "no cause" for the complaints;
- GM civil rights advocate found only one valid complaint but dismissed it;
- GM hired outside firm to investigate and they too found "no cause";
- Class action suit dismissed in a Michigan court for "failure to state a claim";
- Failed to file an appeal;
- Placed by employer on a permanent leave of disability;
- Tried suing GM again through their self-insured workman's compensation insurance division;
- Workman's comp case was dismissed for "Failure to state a claim on which relief could be granted";

I guess that Camille's just been screaming from the wrong frickin' mountaintop; and while her attorneys are making a killing off her payments on their legal fees for their filing Camille's cases in the wrong frickin' courts.

Camille's been going after a "moving target", and it's been that way all along. Is this insanity or what? I say it's indicative of corporate and judicial corruption; and a conspiracy between the private and government corporations to enslave people by using the "color of law" to deprive people of their civil and constitutional rights. I'd say the odds of "winning" at this type of game are better in Las Vegas.

These judicial rulings are "official public record" folks. They can be used later by anyone who wishes to claim that this Oakland County Circuit Court, and this corrupt judge John J. McDonald, had otherwise conducted a valid "inquiry" into these allegations, and had actually "found" no genuine issues or facts...as if he actually "looking" for what was otherwise plainly in front of his nose. As a result of these types of ruling, Camille McMillan or anyone else in her shoes, would only be subject to further ridicule and defamation by those willing to use this Judge McDonald's or Magistrate Paige's rulings to claim that Camille had just made all of these allegations up out of spite, ignorance, or anything else

they wish to use to mischaracterize her.

Remember that it was Camille McMillan who not only acted responsibly in following the protocols set in place by her employer and her union to address these types of civil rights issues, it was she who approached the court with the popular belief that our court system indiscriminately provides “all people” with “equal access” to justice; that the “justice system” is properly carried out by affording everyone with “due process of law”; and that these Oakland County courts and these sworn judicial officials would afford anyone like Camille with proper access to a jury so to remedy these types of civil rights violations.

Yet without any form of substantiation whatsoever to his own claims, Judge John J. McDonald dismissed Camille’s case on the grounds that she had made “nonspecific allegations” regarding her co-workers and incidents in the workplace; and while this judge also claimed – without any supporting reason – that “there had been no evidence presented that these incidents were discriminatory. He actually ruled that the alleged discrimination carried out against Camille at General Motors should be considered as nothing more than an “isolated incident”.



McDonp3

Use an arrow or red box to bring focus to the stamp used in place of the judge’s signature and the blank in place of the magistrate’s signature.



Camille WC judgment_Page_18

Take a look again at Judge McDonald’s and Magistrate Paige’s rulings. Can you believe that these judges are so disinterested in these types of rulings that they cannot even take the extra time to sign their own frickin’ name to their own official documents?



Praeipce4Sanctions

Judge McDonald’s ruling actually had the effect of reversing Camille’s litigation to the degree that the attorney for General Motors could turn around and go after Mrs. McMillan for Sanctions, and all the costs GM paid out for their “counsel” to defend their case. Judge McDonald’s “Opinion and Order” had the effect of enabling GM to actually demand that Camille pay them back for their attorneys’ “numerous telephone calls”, for the costly preparation of their legal briefs and motions, and for their filing of various other documents with the court.

Remember, H. Wallace Parker's law firm and attorney Richard T. Taylor had authorized the "case evaluation" to be scheduled AFTER this disgraceful hearing and ruling by McDonald.



Affidavit4Sanctions



Affidavit4Sanctions2

Simply scroll the down the first doc with focus on "Affidavit" and dissolve to second document with overview of shaded areas during discussion of the previous paragraph. Then zoom in for focus on wording of \$7.4 billion against GM in next paragraph.

Based upon Judge McDonald's ruling, the General Motors attorney was entitled to "remind the court", though misleadingly by failing to mention that Mrs. McMillan was originally accompanied by 14 other plaintiffs, while otherwise claiming through this Affidavit, that Camille had originally "sought damages of \$7.4 billion against GM".

ANGLE ON HOST:

Thus is the current state of affairs of our modern day "justice" system. Could this system be even worse than this? Let's finish this documentary by taking a closer look at just a few more things to bring us up to speed with where things stand for Camille McMillan now.



H.Wallace Parker



naacp


Superimpose NAACP over top or bottom of Parker's picture. Then dissolve both to article about Parker purchasing the Silverdome



H WallaceParker article

Exactly what kind of attorney was this guy Richard T. Taylor who was provided to Camille McMillan by this other guy H. Wallace Parker, who is or was chief counsel for the NAACP and made the news about enough money to purchase and refurbish the Silverdome?

Scroll, zoom in, and underline as appropriate to reflect the content of the dialogue below.



In her letter, Mrs. McMillan wrote, “I met Mr. Taylor in late 2003 after attorney William Selinsky left the Bloomfield Law Center and Mr. Taylor took over my case.” Remember, Selensky was the attorney handling the case in 2002 when the USA Today called the nation’s attention to a 7.4 billion dollar race discrimination lawsuit underscoring multiple incidents of “hangman’s nooses”. As you also recall, he then worked for H. Wallace Parker’s Bloomfield Law Center that subsequently changed its name to Parker, Roberts, and McGruder.



Bloomfield Law Center



ANGLE ON CAMILLE'S COMPLAINT TO AGC (page 1):

Mrs. McMillan said that when she met personally with Mr. Taylor, he assured her that he was capable of handling her case of discrimination against General Motors. Yet when her first deposition was scheduled on December 22nd, 2003, Taylor cancelled it and the GM attorney somehow got word that it was Camille McMillan who had been the one to cancel it. Subsequently, when that deposition was rescheduled for January 2004, Taylor never even showed up. He simply left Camille McMillan sitting alone and surrounded by GM attorneys for well over an hour until finally H. Wallace Parker's law firm dispatched

another attorney to oversee Camille's deposition testimony. This substitute attorney actually knew nothing about Camille's case or what she was going to say for the official court record under Oath. Camille had no consultation time with this attorney and therefore truly had no "representation" of her actual legal interests as she was subjected to grueling questioning by the GM attorneys the rest of that day.

You recall, later in 2004 Taylor simply rolled over, extending GM the "professional courtesy" of allowing GM attorneys to go before Judge McDonald with their "motion for summary disposition" and to reschedule the status conference for afterwards. Camille's complaint to the Attorney Grievance Commission says here that when that motion for summary disposition hearing came up, attorney Taylor had told Mrs. McMillan outright that she should not even be present in the courtroom. Of course, she followed that instruction of her "counsel" and Judge McDonald subsequently dismissed all of Camille's civil rights "counts" of discrimination.

Camille wrote that when it came to filing her "appeal", Taylor stated that Mrs. McMillan would need to pay another \$200 beyond the \$1000 she had already paid up front to Taylor to cover court costs for her case. Though Mrs. McMillan took issue with having to reach into her pocket for more money, she did tell Taylor that she would provide that \$200 amount, but only if there was nothing leftover of the \$1000.

Taylor never went back to Camille for the \$200 and the very next month Camille ran into Taylor on the streets of Ferndale. When she asked Taylor then about his having filed the appeal, he stated that he still had not; but he insisted that he WOULD be filing Camille's case for "appeal" that following day. Yet he never did. Mrs. McMillan stated in her complaint to the grievance commission that she was so concerned that Taylor might be derelict in his obligation, that she even put the extra effort to call him the following day to find out if he had kept his word, and that Taylor had lied to her by claiming that he had filed her appeal when he actually had not. Subsequently, Camille was left sitting on her hands waiting for the next nearly two years for her appeal to come up and it never did.

According to Camille, by the time she had realized that she'd been duped by Taylor, Parker had changed the name of his law firm and Taylor was long gone and refusing to answer Camille's repeated calls to his cell phone. According to Camille's complaint to the Attorney Grievance Commission, she met personally with Parker on March 16, 2006. In answer to Camille's demand for answers, Parker looked around for Camille's file and found it still in Taylor's old desk. Taylor had never even placed it back in the law firm's files. He had left it hidden away when he left the company. In any regard, Parker sifted through the file and simply stated that his former employee just must have never filed the appeal.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Oh well...Tough shit huh!? Guess that's just the way the proverbial ball bounces...

Need SPFX sound over curse word.

Further down in Taylor's reply it should be noted Taylor tried to absolve himself from the major responsibility he should have otherwise had for his failure to file Camille's appeal. Note that he failed altogether to address Mrs. McMillan's statements about his reassurances in Ferndale outside the restaurant and the next day on the phone, when he had stated that he had already filed that appeal. Taylor's response blamed the law firm for not having filed the appeal. Remember, this is despite that Taylor personally had stuck Camille's file at the bottom of one of his desk drawers before leaving that law firm.

What's even more aggravating about this whole thing is that attorney malpractice suits can only be filed within two years after the date of the last negligent action performed by the attorney. So even if Camille had wanted to sue the Bloomfield Law Center, that firm was technically no longer in business. Our good ol' boy H. Wallace Parker had changed the name of his company and partnered up with other attorneys by then, allowing him to "legally" cover his own tracks, and effectively using the "color of law" to deprive Camille McMillan of her right to hold even Parker's law firm accountable as referenced by Taylor.



AGCrebuttal

Here is Camille McMillan's rebuttal to Taylor's letter. It pointed out another couple of interesting items that compounds my dismay about what this attorney Taylor, as well as what H. Wallace Parker's law firm had done, in tortuous violation of Camille's contractual rights...which had the effect of compounding the previous violations of her civil rights by the General Motors Corporation. Take for example Mrs. McMillan's references to the numerous depositions that were taken...or should have been taken on her behalf...of other "witnesses" in this GM discrimination case. Not only did Taylor cancel Camille's first deposition and fail to show at that rescheduling, he never even scheduled ANY other depositions himself. Instead, he relied solely upon all of the depositions scheduled, paid for, and conducted by the General Motors Corporation attorneys.

As shown already in this documentary, there were other depositions that WERE taken, such as those of David Reitzel, James Smith, and Evelyn Jones. However these depositions were done, like Camille's was done, by General Motors, not by H. Wallace Parker's law firm on behalf of Camille McMillan. That meant that few, if any, fact-finding questions were ever asked on Camille McMillan's behalf before this judge John J. McDonald dismissed the case altogether in "summary disposition" of the facts made available in the case at that particular point in time.

Mrs. McMillan wrote that when she opened up the Bloomfield Law Firm file that Taylor had hid in his desk drawer before leaving H. Wallace Parker's law firm, she was in utter shock. She found that rather than ordering an official transcribed copy of her deposition directly from the court reporter, attorney Taylor had relied solely upon General Motors attorneys to provide the Bloomfield Law Firm with a copy of the transcript that General Motors had themselves paid for. Moreover, despite that Taylor had once shown

Camille a copy of her own deposition transcript, representing to her that he was in possession of the entirety of that transcript, when Camille searched the file in 2006 she found that the most relevant 100 pages of her sworn testimony was completely missing. The way Ms. McMillan put it, her “deposition had been bleached”. GM’s attorney Alex Alexopoulos had apparently only sent Taylor what he wanted Camille’s attorney to have available to him in Camille’s testimony.

Underline “My deposition had been bleached” as Host reaches this point in dialogue.

Essentially, of the total 271 pages of Mrs. McMillan’s deposition testimony, Taylor had possession of only 163 of the least pertinent pages, with 40% of the most relevant testimony missing. This is what attorney Richard T. Taylor had left for anyone else at the Bloomfield Law Firm who wished to pick up Camille’s case where he left off. Camille believed then, as she still believes, that this was all the General Motors attorneys could possibly hope for...and perhaps even PAID Taylor for...and then some.



Pic-in-pic with primary focus still on Camille’s rebuttal letter to the Attorney Grievance Commission.

CamDepomarked

Check out this multiple-page section of Camille’s deposition. This is the type of thing that Camille found in Taylor’s file folder. Besides a hundred pages of the most damning testimony missing, some of the other pages were crossed out to signify what sections of Camille’s testimony could be ignored as least significant. Mrs. McMillan can only guess that this was done by GM before they sent this material over to Taylor. Mrs. McMillan wrote, “the deposition was sent to Mr. Taylor by the GM attorney.....Mr. Taylor should have counted the pages, I did. Taylor only showed me one passage of my deposition when he first received it”.

ANGLE ON HOST:

That he did while misrepresenting to Camille that he had actual possession of the entire official transcript of her deposition. What did the Michigan State Bar do about this?



AGCruling

Scroll down document to bottom and text pops up with underline on “committed professional misconduct”. Then go to page two and scroll down to the bottom and text pops up again with underline on everything.

II. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING MISCONDUCT

Based on respondent’s plea of no contest, the panel finds that respondent committed professional misconduct in violation of Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1(c); 1.3; and 1.4(a).

AGCruling1a



AGCruling2 & 2a

pay costs in the amount of \$907.76. Check or money order shall be made payable to the State Bar of Michigan, but submitted to the Attorney Discipline Board 1211 West Fort St., Ste. 1410, Detroit

Why they had Richard T. Taylor write THEM a check for \$907.76. Camille McMillan got absolutely nothing personally out of that attorney grievance proceeding, despite that she had brought the complaint.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Note that this Attorney Grievance Commission ruling was dated March 10, 2008. It took two full years from the time Camille first sent her complaint to the Attorney Grievance Commission. See, here's the letter from the Commission acknowledging Mrs. McMillan's complaint dated April 24, 2006.



Back to top of half of whole letter and bring out time-stamp to full screen. Then do split screen with acknowledgment letter top half.



FILED
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD
08 MAR 10 PH 3:21

AGCruling2 & 2b

AGCacknowLet

All may not have been lost for our society, but we may only thank Camille McMillan for that. The Attorney Grievance Commission did nothing to stop Richard T. Taylor from running for the elected position of Oakland County JUDGE just three months later. In fact, attorney Taylor had actually WON in the runoff primaries for the 50th District Court. It was only due to some investigative work by the Detroit Free Press that the Attorney Discipline information got exposed and caused Taylor to later lose that election by a landslide.




DFPonTaylor & DFPonTaylor2

50TH DISTRICT COURTS have nominees vie to sit on the Pontiac seat.
Richard Taylor has 12 years of experience as a private attorney handling criminal defense, civil litigation and family law. He also has a remarkable life story. Orphaned at age 13, he made it from a broken project to college and through law school, and established a successful practice.
"Being raised to that atmosphere, and then be able to make a living and achieve my goals, it provides a great inspiration," he said.
"Unfortunately," he was told the Oakland County Bar Association would not endorse this year by the Attorney Grievance Commission. Taylor, 40, said he would be a good choice in the race to

Nevertheless, it didn't stop Richard T. Taylor from continuing to employ himself here in Michigan as an attorney. At last glance, he had his own law office in Pontiac; and believe it or not, the Michigan State Bar was reporting to the public that his reputable status was still in "good standing". Can you believe it? So much for the "self-policing system" of the State Bar. I'd call this FRAUD upon the public by that "good ol' boy" organization. I'd

say that the Michigan State Bar is about as dependable as the Better Business Bureau. Of course, the BBB is another story altogether.



TaylorStateBar

Start with full screen then pop up the 2nd and 3rd images from the full page and animate them above each other in near full screen while dissolving out the original full page.



TaylorStateBar2

Richard Terrell Taylor - P55237 (active and in good standing)

Law Offices of Richard T. Taylor PC
PO Box 430696
Pontiac, MI 48343

TaylorStateBar3

So where else was Camille McMillan left to turn since Taylor destroyed her only chance for an appeal of Judge McDonald's corrupt ruling? The only place left was with Magistrate Judge Melody Paige and the administrative remedies provided by the Worker's Compensation Agency of Michigan's illustrious Department of Labor and Economic Growth. Wonderful....more corruption.



Focus at top of page

Camille WC judgment_Page_01

We shan't even try to consider what thick and gooey mess of the corruption lurks in this judicial division of the Michigan Department of Labor. Instead, we will spotlight more of what happened in just Magistrate Melody Paige's administrative courtroom, to bring us up to date as to what is happening now, at the time this video documentary is being scripted and completed.



Scroll down from hold of top of previous page 1 and slow cross dissolve to page 14 continuing to scroll at same rate. Hold on the second to last paragraph of page in reference to MIOSHA and other claims Judge Paige would refuse to rule upon . Then scroll down further to see Dr. Louis Dvorkin, Ph.D. at the bottom of the page.

Camille WC judgment_Page_14

As you may recall, Magistrate Judge Melody Paige ruled that she would not address the Americans With Disabilities Act or MIOSHA in her ruling. Mrs. McMillan questioned

why not?! Isn't it the JOB of these Workman Compensation Agency "Board of Magistrates" to evaluate employment safety issues and dangerous workplace conditions? Isn't the Michigan Occupational Safety and Health Administration – or MIOSHA – inextricably tied to workplace injury cases like this? And aren't they supposed to be governing over and policing employers for whom reports have been filed against because they have been violating safety procedures? Remember, Camille was a journeyman electrician who was prevented from following written procedures otherwise mandating that there be "lockouts" when working on machines, so to prevent workers from being electrocuted or crushed by moving assembly robot parts and other equipment.

Magistrate Paige instead chose to focus upon the sworn deposition testimony of Dr. Louis Dvorkin a neuropsychologist Camille had gone to when she could no longer take the punishment being dished out by General Motors plant supervisors and sanctioned by corporate management.

Dr. Dvorkin's diagnosis was that plaintiff exhibited clinical features and traits of someone who was having cognitive problems as it would relate to MS and that she was experiencing emotional problems as well, adjustment reaction anxiety, depression, and that she was also experiencing stress related to an occupational problem (D p 45). It appears that due to the problems with the Multiple Sclerosis plaintiff was experiencing cognitive problems which would alter her perceptions of events that may or may not have occurred at work. It is unconscionable that someone would hang a rope fashioned into a noose or pictures that were racially motivated but would these two instances cause a disability in an individual, I fear not. The other events that plaintiff alleged may or may not have occurred, it is hard to say, however, the instances were investigated by the Union at the time and all were found to be without merit

Camille WC judgment_Page_16a3

As shown here on page 16 of Judge Paige's ruling, she discredited Dr. Dvorkin's testimony by reasoning such things as, "It is unconscionable that someone would hang a rope fashioned into a noose or pictures that were racially motivated, but would these two incidents cause a disability in an individual? I fear not. The other events that Mrs. McMillan alleged may or may not have occurred. It is hard to say. However, the instances were investigated by the Union at the time and all were found to be without merit." (WINK & NOD)

Only get wink and nod and then go to back to page 16, in the middle of the page and UNDERLINE the once sentence that says, "doctor never testified...inability to work" then dissolve to page 17.

ANGLE ON HOST: (insert wink and nod)

So much for Camille's own sworn testimony about those incidents.... Magistrate Melody Paige then went on to outright lie by claiming that Dr. Dvorkin "never testified that the work-related stress was the root of Camille's inability to work".

Dr. Dvorkin's diagnosis was that plaintiff exhibited clinical features and traits of someone who was having cognitive problems as it would relate to MS and that she was experiencing emotional problems as well, adjustment reaction anxiety, depression, and that she was also experiencing stress related to an occupational problem (D p 45). It appears that due to the problems with the Multiple Sclerosis plaintiff was experiencing cognitive problems which would alter her perceptions of events that may or may not have occurred at work. It is unconscionable that someone would hang a rope fashioned into a noose or pictures that were racially motivated but would these two instances cause a disability in an individual, I fear not. The other events that plaintiff alleged may or may not have occurred, it is hard to say, however, the instances were investigated by the Union at the time and all were found to be without merit

Camille WC judgment_Page_16



Camille WC judgment_Page_17

Moreover, on the next page of her ruling, page 17, Judge Paige unilaterally decided that she'd simply throw out and disregard Dr. Dvorkin's testimony altogether. Here Judge Paige used the personal pronoun "me" when stating, "The doctor failed to convince me that he was being objective..." (LOOK OF INSANITY)

ANGLE ON HOST: (Insert look of insanity then back to page 17)

“...and proving the kind of treatment and therapy that a person without a worker’s compensation claim would have received. Little weight was given to his opinions as he was not found to be credible”.



Scroll from the top of the page to the highlighted sections in the middle of page 1. When talking about the grievance filed on September 8th for the union refusing to provide a medical pass, make the grievance appear front and center, then made it disappear again. Then dissolve to page 2 at the appropriate time in the dialogue.



Dvorkinletter

Griev NoEmergMedPass

Here's a letter written by Dr. Dvorkin himself on October 9, 2003. He wrote this just one month after Camille filed this grievance, which was shortly after General Motors supervisors denied Camille a medical pass to deal with her MS medical condition.

The letter stated Dr. Dvorkin's position very clearly and succinctly, "The patient felt as though she had exhausted all of the potential avenues of possible relief which were available to her in the context of her employment situation at General Motors, with no apparent relief in sight. This included having made numerous and sundry attempts to speak with officials of her union, her supervisors, and attempting to make contact with higher levels of management at General Motors regarding the ongoing struggle which she had encountered on a day-to-day basis at her work site....She was in fear for her safety and indeed for her life...She was in a constant state of apprehensive expectation".



On the second page, focus on the second highlighted section first then the top highlighted section.

Dvorkinletter2

Dr. Dvorkin continued on the next page writing, “It was evident at this time that the patient was at risk for further neuropsychological and psychological decompensation should she remain at her place of employment, given the extreme and perverse nature of the stressors which she had to work under on a daily basis....I recommended to the patient that she consider taking a 30-day leave of absence from her employer in order to recover her usual physical, cognitive, and social/emotional and personality functioning status.”

SLOW DISSOLVE TO HOST:

Subsequently, Mrs. McMillan took a month off; and the thirty days turned into 6 months when Dr. Dvorkin found Camille’s neuropsychological condition too volatile for her to be returning to the hostilities of the employment environment. Around mid-November 2003, Mrs. McMillan filed a Workman’s Compensation claim and General Motors refused to pay her anything in compensation for her time off work those first two months. In addition, General Motors refused to pay for Dr. Dvorkin to authorize the medical leave. GM management insisted that Camille instead go to another medical doctor for that authorization. Afterwards, Mrs. McMillan was able to receive an income under her “sickness and accident” union benefit.

According to Mrs. McMillan, after 6 months, General Motors then turned around and threatened to take the money back that they were paying out for Mrs. McMillan’s sickness and accident benefit, unless she filed a disability claim and started receiving Social Security benefits. The way GM figured it, unless Mrs. McMillan committed herself to a claim of a permanent and total disability to prevent her from ever coming back to work at GM, they would begin deducting from her temporary disability payments anyway....an amount equal to what they believed she SHOULD be collecting in social security benefits.

What followed with Mrs. McMillan’s Workman’s Compensation claim can only be characterized as a “circus” of legal manipulation.....with a “conspiracy of corruption” playing out between big business and government as General Motors and the Michigan Department of Labor “constructively” deprived Camille McMillan of her numerous rights. Most obvious was the tortuous deprivation of Camille’s right to a speedy resolve of these legal issues, and her right to have her medical “stressors” addressed within the proper context of the facts, which inextricably involved GM’s hostile workplace environment.



Dissolve from Host to page 18 scrolling down to the empty space of Melody Paige's signature at the bottom then dissolve to page 19 with the date of her ruling and hold with an underline of the year 2009.

Camille WC judgment_Page_18 & 19

Now, you might be asking yourself how in the world, if Camille McMillan filed her Workman's Compensation case in late 2003, it could have taken 5 ½ years to find a resolve. You might also ask what exactly was happening to cause this case to go on, and on, and on like this video documentary might seem to also be doing. It all began when General Motors outright refused to pay for the Worker's Compensation claim, forcing Mrs. McMillan to hire an attorney to fight the case in Magistrate Melody Paige's "Board of Magistrates" administrative court.



Dissolve from one to the next as mentioned in the dialogue.

DOLEG MagisHelpWntd



GenMtrslegaldept

Well, at least this documentary is not repeating itself the way Camille saw things maliciously repeat as she otherwise held out for the hope that justice might take place through an administrative hearing of Michigan's Department of Labor and Economic Growth. Here is exactly what happened, all due to spiteful ploys implemented by General Motors and a plethora of the corrupt business attorneys they brought in. Most appalling about all this is that Magistrate Melody Paige just acted complacently while "watching" it all happen. She simply did nothing while General Motors continued to use the "color of law and procedure" to effectively keep "walking all over" Camille.

According to Mrs. McMillan, General Motors was able to continually stall for time, by claim that each of the numerous attorneys they assigned to this case either quit the company or somehow caused GM to desire other law firms to represent their legal interests....all prior to any real action taking place. Camille told me that General Motors replaced their attorneys no less than 10 times, claiming they therefore needed to extend the ongoing postponement of the proceedings so to get each of their new attorneys "up to speed" on the facts and the legal issues.



Sommers Schwartz

Additionally, though Mrs. McMillan had gone to what she believed was a


“reputable” law firm, Sommers and Schwartz, more than a full year after her Workman’s Compensation claim was filed, in 2005, that law firm informed Mrs. McMillan that due to a clerical error, she would need to re-file her paperwork and move to the proverbial “back of the line” of all the cases filed behind hers. Subsequently, between 2005 and early 2007, several trial dates were set up. Yet upon written notice of those dates General Motors attorneys canceled the scheduling of those hearings, again citing that it was because GM had again switched attorneys.



LevineBenjamin

In early 2007, Camille’s own attorney at Sommers Schwartz left the company or retired, and so she found new representation from the law firm of Levine and Benjamin. Subsequently, Mrs. McMillan and her attorney received notice of a new trial date of October 15, 2007. That very day, just moments before the trial hearing was to be held, the attorneys for General Motors and Camille McMillan came to an agreement and settled out of court. The terms of that settlement included GM’s agreement to pay Mrs. McMillan for her past 5 years of Workman’s Compensation claim. Additionally, GM had to relinquish all claims of reimbursement on payments they had made those first few months in late 2003 and early 2004 when Camille received benefits under the union’s “sickness and accident” benefit.

Finally, General Motors agreed to provide a written request for a “Medicare set aside letter”, which essentially was notification from the GM employer to Medicare stating that General Motors would pay for Camille’s medical expenses instead of Medicare for some time into the future.



Settlement1 and 2


ANGLE ON HOST:

For all practical purposes, Mrs. McMillan thought she was finally free of the never-ending torment of General Motors....free of the ongoing litigation, and free to simply “move on” with her life without worrying about her surmounting medical bills. The Worker’s Compensation Agency scheduled a “redemption date” of January 30, 2008. This was when GM was to fulfill its obligation to that out-of-court settlement agreement.



Lacey&Jones

Yet on or about that redemption date, Camille McMillan was back on the same rollercoaster ride engineered by General Motors and their stream of corporate attorneys from the Lacey & Jones law firm. The signs were all there. It started with a phone call from Mrs. McMillan's attorney stating that General Motors had reported that for some unexplained reason they had not yet received the Medicare "set aside" letter. What the GM attorney failed to mention when notifying Camille's attorney about this however, was that General Motors had never even followed through with their obligation to hire a third party company to facilitate that proposal to Medicare as agreed to three months prior in October 2007.



Underline "They will hire and pay....set aside proposal". Then dissolve to notice of new redemption date.

Settlement1

NewRedemDate

Therefore, Camille had to keep paying her attorney to stick with her through a rescheduling of that redemption date, which was moved up three more months to April 30, 2008. Camille and her attorney agreed to that new date in good faith that General Motors would be rectifying the previous error caused by SOMEONE'S lack of performance.

Suspecting again that the General Motors Corporation was up to its usual dirty tricks and that something really foul was again going on, Mrs. McMillan took the initiative near the end of March 2008 to do what her attorney Lorenzo A. D'Agostini should probably have done long before then. She called Medicare and found out that neither General Motors nor their third party representatives had ever submitted any type of proposal for a "set aside" letter to be sent out by Medicare. Camille promptly notified D'Agostini about her finding and he, in turn, called General Motors' counsel to complain.

It was only when Camille's attorney made that call that GM took an altogether different legal path, by claiming that the Lacy and Jones attorney Richard N. Lovernick never actually had GM's authorization in the first place to settle that Workman's Comp case

six months prior on the previous October 15, 2007, and just before the case was otherwise set for a trial hearing.



Place a red box around Lovernick's name at the bottom of the graphic. Then insert a quick shot of Host when he says "Wow...game of corruption".

LovernickConklinBenham

What's this? More attorney malpractice? Did GM report this malfeasance to the Attorney Grievance Commission? Never mind, I've already checked and the Michigan State Bar lists attorney Lovernick as still in "good standing" here in 2010. He's now working for the Conklin and Benham law firm in Ann Arbor.

Wow! These corporate attorneys really DO move around a lot. They're just like chess players; never staying in one place long enough to pin them for anything they are doing in this elaborate game of big business and government corruption.

GM tried to take advantage of the circumstance....which they had created themselves....by offering Camille's attorney an alternate "settlement" for 1/3 less than their original agreement in October. In other words, they offered D'Agostini, Mrs. McMillan's attorney, their promise that they would stop jacking Camille around IF she would take their sleazy offer that very day.



Start with top caption in full screen, then simply scroll down the page while Host continues to talk.

SumPromo

Of course, rather than to file a formal complaint and request for sanctions against the General Motors Corporation, and rather than to file an attorney misconduct complaint with the Michigan State Bar in report about his peer group of other attorneys...Lovernick and the rest at the Lacey and Jones law firm....Mrs. McMillan's attorney D'Agostini instead called Camille to ask if she wanted to take GM's "new deal". Of course she adamantly declined, and while stating that she'd rather take the matter back to trial so to get General Motors' abhorrent behavior into the public records of the court. Furthermore, by Mrs. McMillan's own past experience with the General Motors Corporation, she had no confidence whatsoever that GM would even honor the new unofficial agreement they offered to make.

In fact, by this time Camille McMillan's true confidence lay well beyond the fraudulent business world....and well above even the highest of Michigan's corrupt courts. Camille's confidence resided only in her religious belief in Judaism, and in the fellowship of other believers who can see clearly the direction that our big businesses and governments are taking while essentially railroading this once fine free nation.

ANGLE ON HOST:

So another trial date was scheduled for sometime in the Summer of 2008; however that date was reportedly cancelled by Magistrate Judge Melody Paige herself because she had fallen ill to ovarian cancer. She was then supposedly going through chemotherapy. The hearing was again rescheduled for September 2008, nearly a full year after GM had already committed themselves to a full and final resolution of this Workman's Compensation claim.

Camille WC judgment_Page_19

ORDER
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT benefits are denied.
WORKERS' COMPENSATION BOARD OF MAGISTRATES

MELODY PAIGE, Magistrate (1995)
Signed this 16th day of April 2009, at Pontiac, Michigan.



Dissolve from the
signature line to page 1
and scroll UP to
Department of Labor
and Economic Growth.

Camille WC judgment_Page_01

Seven and a half months later Camille McMillan finally got to see her day in court, albeit it was only an administrative hearing and she never got to have an actual trial with a jury of her “peers” to hear the evidence and testimony. Mrs. McMillan told me that she testified for nearly 5 hours....so long that the hearing had to be adjourned for a second day of testimony.

During that period in court, Magistrate Melody Paige allowed the attorney for GM to question Camille about her religion. Magistrate Paige herself even engaged in a probe of questioning with Camille's husband that was completely out of line and reflected stereotypical views that are prejudicial and inappropriate for a judicial officer. She asked whether or not her husband, a black male with a university degree, even had a job. Camille told me that Paige spoke from the bench to her husband who was sitting innocently in the courtroom for no other reason but to support his wife. Yet despite that he was not a witness to the case, Magistrate Paige spoke to him inappropriately asking, “Sir, do you work?” as if by merely being black he was expected to be lazy, unemployed or unemployable, and while implying he might otherwise be a freeloader or former criminal.

. Camille McMillan rightfully believed that this magistrate went far beyond the scope of her authority allowing and even asking these types of questions herself. Her religion and the employability of her husband had nothing to do with Camille's claims or the nature of the case. Her husband was never a party involved in litigation with General Motors.


After the two days of hearing, Magistrate Judge Melody Paige constructed a chock full of lies mixed with prejudicial bias in favor of the General Motors Corporation, and cloaked it in a fraudulent official judiciary document for the Workman's Compensation Agency of the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Again, this so-called “magistrate” threw out the neuropsychologist Dr. Dvorkin’s written testimony, simply because she he was focusing on external factors – i.e., a hostile workplace environment – affecting Camille’s disability and precluding her ability to go back to work when nothing in that environment had actually changed. Of course, this is why Camille had gone to Dr. Dvorkin to begin with. Magistrate Paige, on the other hand, thought that Dr. Dvorkin should have been focusing more on the internal factors affecting her Multiple Sclerosis. Of course, to do so would again preclude a claim against General Motors. Essentially, Mrs. McMillan was in a “no win” situation with Magistrate Paige from the get-go.

Paige then went even further to falsely claim that Camille had left work BECAUSE of the MS, never holding General Motors accountable under the Americans With Disabilities Act for not providing Camille with employment “accommodations”, so that Camille might continue working even despite her disability. Of course, this is what Camille had been doing anyway prior to saying enough-is-enough at the point where she was denied a medical pass and soon afterwards asked Dr. Dvorkin to write his determination that it was the perverse nature of the employment conditions as GM that were exacerbating Camille’s condition to such degree that she should not return to work at all. Remember, prior to that, and in the 6 years Camille had worked at the Pontiac Assembly Plant, she never missed even one day of work because of her Multiple Sclerosis.


So even Camille’s workman’s comp case was dismissed...on April 16th, 2009. She had 30 days to file an appeal, which was filed around May 2009. And that brings us near to where we are today.....Summer 2010.....more than a year after filing for appeal and still waiting for that appeal to be scheduled by the Department of Labor’s Workman’s Compensation Agency.



BootonConsti



Camille pic2



Promo1

Dissolve from Host to boot on Constitution. Hold then dissolve to Promo 1 in full screen and with Camille’s picture fitting in lower left corner over emblem and white space. Then dissolve to photo of Camille with daughter and scroll the Promo2 graphic up at pace the audience can read it, just to the right of the photo of Camille and daughter remaining in shot.



Camille and daughter

**SURVIVING GENERAL MOTORS
WITH MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS AND
CORRUPTION IN THE MICHIGAN
JUDICIAL SYSTEM**

By
Camille "Zuko" McMillan

General Motors heartily buried
their heads in the sand and
regrettably ignored the abuse
mounting, women and workers
with disabilities suffered at
the Pontiac Truck plant. GM
management admitted to
discrimination against me because
I am afflicted with Multiple
Sclerosis. The corrupt Michigan
judicial system protected GM.
Bereaving my life, I pursued my
dream of studying law. The
Jewish people treated me with
dignity, fairness and respect and
welcomed me into the Jewish
community.

Available now from

amazon.com

978-1-4288-1320-9
(Softcover: ISBN)
978-1-4288-1321-6
(Hardcover: ISBN)

Promo2

Camille has been using her time to find her peace within. Though she retained the same attorney to handle her appeal, it was an underling attorney who actually wrote the appeal to the Workman's Comp appellate court. Meanwhile, Camille remains patient as she yet sees that the Workman's Compensation Agency's Board of Magistrates for some reason continually determines cases that were filed for appeal well AFTER hers. She recently told me that the last case she saw listed as resolved, had been submitted for appeal in November 2009, six and a half months after she had filed hers for appeal.

She is appalled that Magistrate Melody Paige lied, falsified an official document, and just walked away from it. Camille's experiences with Judge John J. McDonald and Magistrate Melody Paige were the first she had ever had with America's judicial system.

ANGLE ON HOST:

So many of us just don't know, and don't care what it's really like dealing with the "just us" system, until we're forced into it by some unfortunate circumstance. We need to believe that if it can happen to others, it CAN happen to us...and do something about it NOW while we can.

From: Christy Millat <christy.millat@yahoo.com>
Subject: new you tube video
To: camillem@sbcglobal.net
Cc: "Judy Kerr" <akerr@msn.com>
Date: Saturday, November 28, 2009, 7:10 PM

GET OVER IT!

GetOverIt

Here's an email that Mrs. McMillan received late last year from an acquaintance, Christy Millat, who had heard about Camille's activism; and that she was promoting her own book in protest of the treatment she received by GM and the Michigan judicial system. The woman wrote that Camille should "Get over it". The message was sent to Camille between the Thanksgiving and the winter holidays when many others were offering a more charitable heart and finding time to share compassion and good cheer.

ANGLE ON HOST:

I have heard that same message a lot too, by people either so ignorant about the truth, or so overwhelmed by it, that they have completely given up on the idea that they can

do anything themselves to change what is going on in the downfall of America. I can't tell you how many times I have been told "Don't fight it" by older, more experienced and successful people throughout my life. They say, "You can't change it. Just get what you can while you can....and then get out." It's like most people play our country's future, and our children's future, like they are playing the stock market.

I just can't do that; and clearly Camille McMillan can't and won't do that either. There are many more of us who feel the same way; however we are far outweighed by the majority who will remain complacent and uncaring until something happens to similarly affect them, causing them to perhaps also seek a remedy in the so-called "just us" system.

Though constructed by design of our Forefathers in our Constitution, there are no more "checks and balances" on government....simply because none of the three branches, the legislative, executive, or the judicial, are doing their jobs....properly.

While America remains a democracy, it is no longer a Republic. We've already seen how the judicial branch handles things in Michigan. It's a criminal racket. As law enforcement personnel are mostly "appointed" and remain under the "executive" control of the elected Governor and/or the Attorney General, law enforcement is clearly part of that "executive branch" of government. And we have seen that the State Attorney General is not there to defend us against a runaway and corrupt government, our taxpayer money serves to support the Attorney General defending government against US whenever we confront our government with civil litigation and criminal allegations. As shown, that posturing against us was created by our own legislators.



Cox2004Resp

Bring in graphic during the second half of the preceding paragraph. Underline "law" in Camille's letter when referencing the last line above.

ANGLE ON HOST:

So how about the United States Attorney General? He is the head of the Department of Justice, and that includes all of our nation's appointed U.S. Attorneys and the FBI. You would think that the head of our entire country's law enforcement has the power to do something to help us right? Wrong.

Insert black text over white stating, "Hopefully, your term will return respect and integrity to the courts", on one line. Then dissolve those words into a close-up graphic to include the preceding sentence. Then dissolve that graphic into the entire page of Camille's letter to Attorney General Eric Holder as it scrolls down slowly.

Hopefully, your term will return respect and integrity to the courts. Cam2HolderLine

and companies should be made to respect our laws, not bypass them. None of my elected officials would help against GM, who contributes heavily to their campaigns but gives most money to the Republicans. Hopefully, your term will return respect and integrity to the courts.

Cam2HolderSeg

In January 2009, while still wait for Magistrate Melody Paige to render her decision in the workman's comp case, Camille wrote a letter to Obama's newly appointed U.S.

Attorney General Eric Holder. She described how she had written to her legislative “representatives” only to find that they do nothing in the face of GM’s corporate power and corrupt support for their campaign funding.



Cam2HolderAll


Camille’s letter to Holder outlined many significant points about her experiences with General Motors and with Michigan courts. She emphasized how Judge McDonald disemboweled the class action case and systematically dismissed each case while the attorney for each grievant refused to allow his clients into the courtroom. She pointed out how 40% of her deposition transcript disappeared, how the judge sanctioned her and one other litigant \$3000 for their not accepting GM’s measly \$4500 settlement offer under pressure of that judge’s threat. She explained how that same attorney never filed her appeal, and she protested to the U.S. Attorney General about how Michigan judges are overstepping the limits of their authority and discretion by “legislating from the bench”... when judges, like all other people, should otherwise be subject to acting within the confines of the law since it was the intent of our founding fathers for our legislators to help keep the biases of judges forcibly in check.



SecondCam2Holder1

Ten months later, Camille McMillan wrote Eric Holder again pointing out that, as far as she can tell, there are no actual party differences between Republicans and Democrats. It doesn’t matter who’s in the White House. Neither of the major party platforms protects the individual citizens of this country because both political parties are so heavily influenced by big business lobbying and politics.

She once again pointed out that GM executives fostered a hostile and threatening environment for minorities, never denying the obvious as it was brought out in the newspapers accounts about these State “hate crimes”, which are otherwise also classified as federal criminal offenses. Camille explained how Michigan’s Oakland County judges themselves saw nothing fundamentally wrong with nooses, swastikas, pictures of “Roadkill”, and a black “Bitch”.



nooseCU



roadkill2

I Don't Give A Shit. I Don't Take Any Shit.
I'm Not In The Shit Business.



DontgiveaSh

Mrs. McMillan pointed out that Magistrate Melody Paige eliminated and manipulated evidence, disavowed Camille's own testimony, and ruled in favor General Motors despite that GM had never even produced one witness to rebut the class action claims against them. Camille asked U.S. Attorney General Holder to contemplate how a judge can arrive at a ruling in favor of a party that could present no one to rebut the testimony against them. Then she answered her own question.

She truthfully stated, "It doesn't actually matter which party is in control, minorities simply have no substantive rights in Michigan".



GovExecOrder

Melody Paige was appointed by the Governor. She did not do her job for years. She grossly neglected what was going on as General Motors substituted attorneys, bargained in bad faith, and continually stalled the case for over 5 years. Now the Michigan Department of Labor's Workman's Compensation Agency Board of Magistrates' appeals committee, or whatever else they call themselves, has picked up where Melody Paige left off.

ANGLE ON HOST:

By the way, have you ever noticed how long it takes to say and write anything that names the people and the various "sections, divisions, and departments" of the government. They, just like lawyers, want to keep things as difficult as possible for you to understand about them. That, of course makes it that much more difficult for you to pin down and articulate exactly who in government has done you wrong, exactly where they worked, and exactly what their job function is. Remember, when calling them on the carpet with civil and criminal allegations, it incumbent upon US to have our facts straight. Meanwhile, these government employees are being shifted around and replaced in their jobs so often – under the guise that they are undergoing "training" – that by the time we can point the finger at

any particular person in any particular department of government, they are gone and the department has changed...making it virtually impossible to reasonably pinpoint and explain how the individual actions of these government officials amounts to anything more than, as Judge John J. McDonald and Magistrate Melody Paige put it,.....isolated incidents.

SecondCam2Holder2

Mrs. McMillan informed Attorney General Holder that legal precedence maintains that judgments cannot render something as having NOT occurred when the only evidence in the record suggests that it DID occur; and that Melody Paige ignored that precedence while also ignoring why Dr. Dvorkin had been rightfully focused on the workplace rather than on Camille's Multiple Sclerosis disability. As Camille so succinctly put it the issue to question, "How does a magistrate deem what one sees a doctor for?" She wrote, "I didn't see that doctor for the MS. I saw him because of threats against my life in a hostile work environment. This magistrate took this mental abuse case and turned it into a Multiple Sclerosis case in order to rule in favor of GM."

[illegible]

USAttnyAnswr

USAttnyAnswr2

complaint while similarly recommending that Camille hire an attorney and head right back into the same corrupt courts for more of the same.

Checks and Balances on our government? This type of response from the executive branch is ludicrous.

ANGLE ON HOST:

And of course it's more of the same coming from others in our executive and legislative branches.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
EXECUTIVE ORDER
No. 2009-53

GovExecOrder2

Crawl from Governor Granholm's name across the page to Lt. Gov. Cherry's name. Then dissolve to Camille's letter to Lt. Gov. Cherry. While dialogue is going on about this letter going out to John Cherry, insert his picture.



Cherry1

Here's Mrs. McMillan's more recent letter to Michigan Lieutenant Governor John Cherry. It started by reminder that she had written to the lieutenant governor before, apparently without any satisfactory results. She described how despite her having been a lifetime Democrat, no one in the Democratic Party had ever assisted her in response to her complaints. She reminded John Cherry that she had personally met with him outside of the union hall of the Local 594 of the GM Pontiac Truck and Bus Assembly Plant; and that immediately afterwards he had personally spoken with her Republican local and had understood for himself the type of harassment that she regularly received at the plant.

Once again Camille's letter outlined her grievances; adding that because she had been rejected from everyone in government she has gone to for help, her family had decided it not even worth their time to participate in the elections anymore. She emphasized for sure that she should not vote for Mike Cox as he makes his bid as the next Michigan Governor.... since his brand of "justice" is, by a subjective "cherry-picking" of the laws; and by design, to always favor the government; regardless of the degree to which government itself is in violation of many other laws never to be considered by Mike Cox.

Camille's letter referenced information she was providing to the Michigan lieutenant governor, proving that the Workman's Compensation Agency magistrate Melody Anne Paige was incompetent and corrupt; and while humorously stating that the magistrate was the best "defense attorney" that GM could possibly have had. Camille also pointed out that despite her paying over \$10,000 out of her own pocket for medical expenses during a period in which GM had otherwise owed her insurance coverage and refused to pay, that Magistrate Paige had nevertheless refused to make General Motors reimburse her as GM had otherwise agreed to do earlier in a settlement agreement that GM then also reneged upon. Camille asserted that Magistrate Paige had even written her ruling as a personal attack against Camille, having taken a subtle swipe at Camille's intelligence while herself

also spelling “Valedictorian” as “Val Dic Torian”.

Camille finished this letter by asserting that despite no results after having written President Obama twice and Eric Holder three times she will continue to be relentless and will “never go away”.

ANGLE ON HOST:

By the way, by my own activism and the creation of this “Power Corrupts” series of documentaries, I intend to echo Camille’s assertions as I intend to keep drawing attention to these types of injustices too.

Back to full screen of page two of Camille’s letter to Lt. Gov. Cherry.

Camille ended by stating, “I now understand how atrocities such as the Holocaust, Rwanda, and Dar Fur happened, and how these types of atrocities continue to exist. Good men simply stand by, watch, and do nothing.

ANGLE ON HOST:

I might have only added that they “do nothing...except and unless they can find some benefit for themselves personally and professionally as government politicians”. All Mrs. McMillan said she got in reply from John Cherry was a form letter full of rhetoric and rejection, similar to kind published so frequently by Michigan current Attorney General Mike Cox.



Cam2Levin

Camille got the same type of rhetorical and “unresponsive” reply from Congressman Sander Levin when she also wrote to him in August 2004 and again on December 19, 2005 in reminder of that earlier letter. Here she emphasized the undermining of her belief that, “Congress is supposed to legislate the laws while the judicial system is supposed to enforce those law”. She also questioned how could possibly hold our “rule of law” and the “scales of our justice system” up anymore as a symbol to the rest of the world of our greatness. She additionally challenged Congressman Levin to consider to what extent that which happened to her at GM fits into the context of our national Pledge of Allegiance about Americans living in a land where “Justice is for all”.



SanderLevin

http://images.search.yahoo.com/search/images?_adv_prop=image&fr=yfp-t-701&va=congressman+sander+levin&sz=all



LevinReply1

LevinReply2 and 2a

As you can see, Sander Levin responded by claiming that his hands are tied since his position as a legislator precluded him from getting involved in the civil or criminal affairs. He, like so many others in the legislative and executive branches of government, recommended that Mrs. McMillan just seek another attorney. He recommended visiting the Michigan Bar and looking for someone perhaps like H. Wallace Parker or Richard Taylor.

Ten months later, Sander Levin wrote again...this time asking Mrs. McMillan for HER help; and while claiming quite falsely that Camille had “responded” to Senator Levin’s earlier calls for support in previous years. Oh...the gall of this Congressman to claim that he shares ANY kind of “mutual concern” with Mrs. Camille McMillan; and to then ask her for a financial contribution to boot when he provided nothing, either directly or indirectly, to further Camille’s civil rights cause, to further her report of judicial misconduct, and or to further her outcries about unrestrained government corruption.

From shot of Host, dissolve to a still shot of headlines and top highlighted section. Then dissolve to Jay Leno shot momentarily and then back again to the DFP article about China zoomed in closer to delete the top and left side and focus more on the article itself. Scroll down slowly and continue to second page.

ANGLE ON HOST:

Who needs these type of Congressmen anyway? In the long run we each could probably do better ourselves rather than to rely upon “representatives” like this. As we’re all well aware by the 911 attacks, Americans are not the only ones crying FOUL against our government. China and the rest of the world also point out the United States government is oppressive; and leading the 50 State and their local governments in the commission of many civil rights crimes, against its very own people.



ChinaSuggests

This Detroit Free Press article was published in 2005, yet five years later in 2010 it still appears there are far too many people like Christy Millat in Michigan, and those elsewhere in the United States, who have still not been paying much attention to what is actually going on. Camille McMillan is, like I am, simply trying hard to wake the People of America up to the extent of this corporate, judicial and political corruption.



Lenointerviews2



Lenointerviews

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RkQ6XgXeNuY&feature=player_embedded#!

Well-known late night television talk show host Jay Leno recently interviewed people at the Universal Studios theme park around July 4th, 2010 and found few who could tell him anything substantial about our country's founding history. The answers he found from our average citizens were both hilariously funny and deeply disturbing. What we don't seem to understand is that while some others like this are the very ones who are becoming our country's leaders, there are many others who DO know better and they are taking advantage of the rest of America's ignorance. By the way, as a public schoolteacher, I know that this is a result of the State and Federal Departments of Education, as well as the teachers' unions, having way too much financial and political power.



ChinaSuggests and ChinaSuggests2

"In Florida, the cases of black people being removed from voter registration lists at three times the rate of white people were 10 times higher than people of other races," it said.

"Formerly, hunger and homelessness have haunted the world's richest country, and voter discrimination operates similarly, especially in the coastal states, the report charged. Nearly 3 out of 10 black Americans have been in prison at least once," it said.

"Blacks receive, on average, a longer felony sentence than whites. A black person's average jail sentence is six months longer than a white's for the same crime," it said.

The report was based on the conduct of U.S. soldiers overseas and the way the Bush administration conducts its war on terrorism.

"To avoid international scrutiny, the United States keeps under wraps half of its 20 odd detention centers worldwide which are housing terrorist suspects," it said.

China cited a report that said the U.S. def invasion of Iraq might have led to 100,000 civilian deaths in the country, with most deaths being women and children.

"The United States frequently commits war-crime atrocities during external invasions and military affairs," the report stated.

Contact TIM JOHNSON at tjohanson@freepress.com

A conspiracy to enslave the population does not have to be blatant and in writing by a proclamation. Today it is obvious however, because what IS in writing is plainly deceptive; as are the actions of our government. They are using the federal codes, the state laws and the local ordinances against us. There are so many conflicting laws that even THEY don't know what they all are; and as a consequence, they "cherry-pick" those they know, have practiced, and wish to use. And they interpret them however they wish so that

they may use them to bind us, not free us.

Whether it is tax codes, contract laws, or traffic ordinances, our legislators have long been scheming together with law “enforcement” and judges to set things up so that they can “catch you being bad”. Each time they “gotcha” you pay the government, and those running the government...or you go to jail...or both. Whether it’s a fine, a lawyer’s salary, court costs, or taxes on your property, your labor, or the things you purchase, the costs come in never-ending waves.

Someone in your family die without a will? The government will get a piece of that action in probate court. You own property? The government will want a piece of that too. If it’s not by an assessment for taxes, it could be by definition of public easements, by proclamation of the government having eminent domain, or by an environmental protection or endangered species ordinance. Do you own livestock, guns, or a business? Likely, you are subject to government scrutiny, regulation, and a high cost for exercising your right to private ownership. THAT’s just for starters.

Ignorance and incompetence runs rapid throughout our government. So too does contempt, arrogance and intentional deceit. Yet if you ever try to hold the executive or legislative governments accountable for their gross negligence, their dereliction of duty, and their malfeasance, the judicial branch will only protect them by judgment awards laced with all types of “governmental immunity”. Many people are fed up!



SachsHeadline1



and 2

ANGLE ON HOST:

Judges themselves should be jailed...if for no other reason but for their own protection when they abuse their discretion and misapply the laws to carry out injustices against the people. If you'd like to add to that calling, then check out Jail-4-Judges-dot-org, or any number of its chapters, which are in all 50 states.

Work a nice collage of images popping in and out while holding momentarily.



Jail4Billboard



Jail4Oregon



Jail4SDakota2

Dissolve S.Dakota2 into S.Dakota of Wall Street Journal.



Jail4SDakota

<http://blogs.wsj.com/law/2006/11/08/south-dakotas-jail-4-judges-measure-fails-2/tab/print/>



Jail4Founder

People need to be held more accountable themselves for their knowledge of the laws so to better be able to call judges out of their protective “chambers” when they engage in corruption and commit “miscarriages of justice” as they have clearly long been doing. It happens all the time. I’ve been in court many times now and seen judges engage in discussions – even ex-parte discussions where only one party is present – “off the record” and in the judges’ “chambers”. Again I say, it happens all the time.

Court transcripts are not in any way dependable. They are neither accurate or truthful. I’ve purchased transcripts after hearings and found they can be grossly in need of challenge and correction. Official rulings of judges are frequently just as inaccurate. Many are written by the party winning the motion or the case, and much of the rest is written by legal clerks who most have never even seen. I can’t count the number of times judges have misstated what I or my legal opponent had placed into written arguments. Neither can I count the number of times they have misstated the facts or simply copied and endorsed wrongful allegations as so-called “facts”, and as they have been shown to have done in Camille’s case. Believe me; I have many more cases in queue, ready to present as documentaries where similar injustices have occurred.



CourthouseForum

<http://www.courthouseforum.com/>

While Host speaks, slowly fade in the two flags in pic-in-pic over each shoulder.

ANGLE ON HOST:

So what was originally structured by our Founding Fathers to ensure our sovereignty and freedom has been slowly eroded and surely manipulated. Our laws and our system of justice have been viciously turned upside-down and against us, so to actually encapsulate

our freedoms and enslave us rather than to put government in the “box” where it otherwise belongs. The People have lost their sovereignty to the popular votes for lying and corrupt politicians; and with those votes coming from people who mostly have been “dumbed down” by our popular news media and conditioned by our prime-time television programmers, to the point that a healthy majority of Americans actually believe that government has superiority OVER us, not the other way around.



DontTread flag




2ndRevFlag

Our Founding Fathers wrote the Constitution of the united States to restrict and limit our servant government. It is up to “We The People” to ensure that as this legacy gets passed from the previous generations to the generations to come. We MUST recognize this and teach this to our youngsters. As Camille McMillan has asked me to relate this to all of you, we need to act NOW, because our time is soon to run out.




Constitution



BootonConsti

Spin Constitution image 90 degrees and wash it out to dissolve it under the foot of the boot. Hold on the “boot” image then dissolve it into the front cover of Camille’s book. Then dissolve Camille’s picture over the center of the front cover as we did before.



Book2cover



Camillepic2

Run final credits in black over the full screen shot of the flag. Then spin it around really fast and dissolve it into the final shot of time running out for America image.



LibertyFlag



timerunout